Integrated Sport Psychology

Neuropsychological, Emotional And Developmental Perspectives

Editor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Mahmut ULUKAN

DUVAR

INTEGRATED SPORT PSYCHOLOGY

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL, EMOTIONAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL PERSPECTIVES

Editor

Assist. Prof. Dr. Mahmut ULUKAN

Integrated Sport Psychology
Neuropsychological, Emotional And Developmental Perspectives

Editor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Mahmut ULUKAN

Editor in chief: Berkan Balpetek
Cover and Page Design: Duvar Design
Printing : March -2026
Publisher Certificate No: 49837
E-ISBN:978-625-8756-23-4

853 Sokak No:13 P.10 Kemeraltı-Konak/İzmir
Tel: 0 232 484 88 68
www.duvaryayinlari.com
duvarkitabevi@gmail.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1

EMOTION REGULATION, STRESS, AND ANXIETY MANAGEMENT IN THE SPORT CONTEXT

Mahmut ULUKAN[1], İrfan KARA[2]

1. Introduction

The sport environment is a social context that generates intense emotional demands due to performance pressure, expectations of evaluation, competition against opponents, training load, injury risk, selection or elimination processes, and intra-team relationships. Within this context, emotions such as anger, excitement, fear, hope, disappointment, pride, and anxiety do not remain merely as subjective experiences; rather, they are closely associated with attention, decision-making, physiological activation, motor control, and performance outcomes (Lazarus, 2000; Wagstaff, 2014).

Emotion regulation refers to the processes through which individuals influence which emotions they experience, when they experience them, and how these emotions are experienced and expressed. In the literature, this process is conceptualized not only as an attempt to reduce negative emotions, but also as the maintenance or enhancement of functional emotional states that may facilitate performance (Gross, 1998; Lane et al., 2012).

Research on stress and anxiety has demonstrated that psychological preparation in sport is not merely a secondary component added to technical and physical preparation. Pre-competition cognitive appraisals, physiological arousal, self-confidence, coping repertoire, and interpersonal regulation processes

[1] *Assist. Prof. Dr., Istanbul Gelisim University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3623-395X, mulukan@gelisim.edu.tr
[2] Assist. Prof. Dr., Istanbul Gelisim University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, ORCID ID: 0009-0002-0667-763X, irkara@gelisim.edu.tr

influence the quality and consistency of performance, as well as the psychological cost of the competitive experience (Craft et al., 2003; Woodman & Hardy, 2003; Nicholls & Polman, 2007).

This chapter addresses emotion regulation, stress, and anxiety management in the sport context through the lens of the theoretical framework, major stressors in the competitive environment, the multidimensional nature of anxiety, regulation strategies, coping processes, and the role of the coach and the team environment.

2. Concept of Emotion Regulation and Theoretical Foundations

The field of emotion regulation has been systematically conceptualized within modern psychology through Gross's process model. According to this model, emotion regulation strategies are classified into antecedent-focused strategies, which are implemented before the emotional response is fully generated, and response-focused strategies, which are employed after the emotion has emerged. Situation selection, situation modification, attentional deployment, and cognitive reappraisal are considered early-stage strategies, whereas expressive suppression is categorized as a later-stage strategy (Gross, 1998; Gross & John, 2003).

In sport psychology, emotion regulation has been extended beyond the general psychological framework and evaluated in terms of its functional role in performance. The BASES expert statement highlights that classifying emotions in athletes merely as pleasant or unpleasant is insufficient; for some athletes, anxiety, anger, or high levels of arousal may be interpreted as functional for performance. Therefore, the central question in sport is not the mere presence of a specific emotion, but rather how that emotion is interpreted and regulated by the athlete (Lane et al., 2012).

Lazarus's cognitive appraisal approach suggests that in the sport context, emotional responses are determined not by the environmental demand itself, but by how that demand is appraised—as a threat, challenge, harm, or loss. When an athlete appraises a competitive situation as a threat, anxiety and tension may

become dominant; conversely, when the same situation is appraised as a challenge, different emotional and behavioral responses may emerge (Lazarus, 2000).

Hanin's Individual Zones of Optimal Functioning (IZOF) model emphasizes that the relationship between emotions and performance in sport is neither uniform nor unidirectional. The same level of arousal or emotional profile may be functional for one athlete while being detrimental for another. This approach underlines the importance of identifying the individual emotional profile and developing corresponding self-regulation skills (Robazza, Pellizzari, & Hanin, 2004).

Contemporary research integrates motor performance with emotion regulation processes and indicates that factors such as timing, strategy selection, task type, level of automaticity, and contextual demands influence the effectiveness of regulation strategies. The intensity of the emotion, whether the sport requires closed or open skills, and the precision demands of the movement are among the key variables determining the impact of emotion regulation on performance (Beatty & Janelle, 2020).

3. Sources and Appraisal of Stress in the Sport Context

In sport psychology, stress is commonly explained through how athletes appraise the relationship between environmental demands and their coping resources. Stress is not limited to heavy training loads or challenging opponents; uncertainty in selection, role conflict, media exposure, injury-related concerns, travel demands, organizational issues, coaching behaviors, and intra-team communication problems may also act as significant sources of stress (Mellalieu, Neil, Hanton, & Fletcher, 2009).

Stressors encountered in the competitive environment are generally categorized into two broad domains: performance-related and organizational demands. Performance stressors are associated with factors such as level of preparation, expectations, opponent analysis, pressure to perform, and outcome-

focused evaluation driven by scoring. Organizational stressors, on the other hand, arise from issues such as team selection, communication, logistics, managerial decisions, and social relationships (Mellalieu et al., 2009).

The experience of stress is not a linear process. Emotions that emerge following the initial appraisal further shape subsequent appraisals of those emotions. Neil, Hanton, Mellalieu, and Fletcher demonstrated that in the competitive context, stress experiences involve a dynamic and interactive process consisting of primary appraisal, emotional response, secondary appraisal, and behavioral response (Neil, Hanton, Mellalieu, & Fletcher, 2011).

Coping research indicates that athletes employ problem-focused, emotion-focused, and avoidance-based coping strategies when confronted with stressors. However, the effectiveness of these strategies is not independent of context. Problem-focused approaches tend to be more appropriate for controllable stressors, whereas strategies aimed at regulating emotional activation become more important in situations where outcomes cannot be directly altered (Nicholls & Polman, 2007).

The relationships among stress appraisal, emotions, coping, and performance satisfaction become more meaningful when examined within a process-oriented framework rather than in isolation. An athlete's appraisal of a situation as a threat or a challenge influences the nature of the emotions experienced; in turn, these emotions affect the coping strategies selected and the subsequent level of performance satisfaction (Nicholls, Polman, & Levy, 2012).

4. The Multidimensional Nature of Anxiety in the Sport Context

Anxiety in the sport literature was long conceptualized as a unidimensional arousal response; however, a multidimensional framework later emerged with the differentiation of cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety, and self-confidence as distinct components. The theoretical approach developed by Martens, Vealey, and Burton demonstrated that competitive anxiety cannot be explained solely by

physiological symptoms, and that cognitive anxiety and self-confidence should be evaluated as separate dimensions (Martens, Vealey, & Burton, 1990).

Meta-analytic findings indicate that the relationship between cognitive anxiety and performance is generally negative, whereas the relationship between self-confidence and performance tends to be positive. The effects of somatic anxiety appear to be more variable, with the athlete's interpretation of physiological activation playing a crucial role in determining performance outcomes (Craft et al., 2003; Woodman & Hardy, 2003).

The anxiety direction approach emphasizes that the intensity of anxiety alone is not a sufficient indicator. The same anxiety symptoms may be interpreted as debilitative by one athlete and facilitative by another. Hanton, Neil, and Mellalieu highlight that the directional interpretation of anxiety represents a key variable in research on competitive stress (Hanton, Neil, & Mellalieu, 2008).

Anxiety is not merely a transient state experienced before competition. Ford, Ildefonso, Jones, and Arvinen-Barrow report that sport-related anxiety manifests across multiple domains, including performance expectations, return-to-play following injury, fear of re-injury, and sensitivity to evaluation, thereby forming a broader psychological pattern. Therefore, anxiety management should not be limited to short-term pre-competition relaxation techniques, but rather should be considered as part of a comprehensive psychological skills training program implemented throughout the season (Ford, Ildefonso, Jones, & Arvinen-Barrow, 2017).

5. Major Approaches to Emotion Regulation, Stress, and Anxiety Management in Sport

Cognitive reappraisal refers to the process by which athletes reinterpret a situation or its meaning in order to alter the emotional impact. In the general psychology literature, reappraisal is associated with more adaptive outcomes, whereas expressive suppression is linked to higher cognitive costs and lower levels of well-being. Similarly in sport, strategies that reframe the meaning of

emotions have been reported to produce more functional outcomes (Gross & John, 2003; Lane et al., 2012).

Although suppression strategies may reduce emotional expression in the short term, they can have negative effects on motor performance, attention, and perceived fatigue. In an experimental study, Wagstaff reported that under conditions of emotional suppression, differences were observed in perceived exertion, pacing, and performance indicators. These findings suggest that emotion regulation should be evaluated not only in terms of psychological comfort but also in relation to performance efficiency (Wagstaff, 2014).

Self-regulation-based practices include breathing control, attentional focus, self-talk, imagery, pre-performance routines, and monitoring of physiological arousal. The common aim of these techniques is to align the athlete's emotional activation with task demands. Within applications based on the Individual Zones of Optimal Functioning (IZOF) model, the athlete's individualized emotional profile associated with optimal performance is identified, and corresponding self-regulation strategies are developed (Robazza et al., 2004).

Mindfulness- and acceptance-based approaches aim not to eliminate internal experiences, but to alter the athlete's relationship with those experiences. The Mindfulness-Acceptance-Commitment (MAC) approach, developed by Gardner and Moore, seeks to reduce rigid attempts to control internal experiences and to direct attentional resources toward performance-relevant tasks (Gardner & Moore, 2004; Gardner & Moore, 2012).

Meta-analytic and experimental studies on mindfulness-based interventions indicate that this approach can be effectively applied to attention control, psychological well-being, coping with stress, and performance-related variables. The meta-analysis by Bühlmayer et al. reported that mindfulness practices can lead to significant improvements in performance-related psychological parameters. Similarly, a randomized controlled trial by Josefsson et al. demonstrated that MAC interventions were effective in enhancing athletes'

mindfulness, emotion regulation, and self-rated performance (Bühlmayer, Birrer, Röthlin, Faude, & Donath, 2017; Josefsson et al., 2019).

Experimental and applied studies also show that mindfulness-based programs can be effective in reducing anxiety and experiential avoidance. A randomized clinical trial by Dehghani et al. reported the effects of a MAC-based intervention on athletes' anxiety and experiential avoidance. Likewise, Wong et al. examined mindfulness training in sub-elite athletes and found improvements in coping skills and psychological well-being alongside performance-related outcomes (Dehghani et al., 2018; Wong, Kuan, Ariffin, & Mahat, 2022).

Recent research highlights the importance of interpersonal emotion regulation processes in the sport context. Emotional support from teammates, co-reappraisal, soothing, and reassurance can influence individual anxiety levels and goal orientation. Tamminen et al. reported that both self-regulation and team-based regulation processes are associated with competitive anxiety and goal achievement (Tamminen, Kim, Danyluck, McEwen, Wagstaff, & Wolf, 2021).

The effectiveness of emotion regulation strategies depends not only on the strategy itself but also on when, by whom, and under which task conditions it is applied. In highly automated motor tasks, excessive cognitive control may impair performance, whereas in open-skill tasks characterized by high uncertainty, flexible reappraisal and attentional regulation strategies may be more functional (Beatty & Janelle, 2020).

6. Coach, Team Environment, and Psychological Skills Training

An athlete's emotion regulation repertoire is not shaped solely by individual personality characteristics. Coach-athlete communication, team norms, the nature of post-error feedback, and the performance climate all influence how emotions are expressed, suppressed, or re-regulated. From a psychological preparation perspective, it is important that messages delivered before and after competition reduce threat perception, maintain a task-focused orientation, and support self-confidence (Lane et al., 2012; Neil et al., 2011).

In team sports, emotions circulate socially. One athlete's anxiety, anger, or calmness may affect the attention, sense of confidence, and pace of play of other athletes. For this reason, team-level emotion regulation, leadership behaviors, and communication patterns are regarded as a distinct area of inquiry. Research on interpersonal emotion regulation has shown that processes through which teammates soothe, help interpret, or activate one another's emotional states can shape the competitive experience (Tamminen et al., 2021).

It is recommended that psychological skills training be planned across the pre-season, in-season, and competition phases. Rather than relying solely on brief interventions aimed at reducing anxiety during competition week, more comprehensive programs should include ongoing work on stress appraisal, self-talk, attentional flexibility, breathing regulation, post-error recovery, intra-team communication, and monitoring of sleep and daily routines (Nicholls & Polman, 2007; Ford et al., 2017).

The integration of mindfulness, acceptance, self-regulation, and coping skills into the training culture facilitates athletes' use of these skills not only in moments of crisis, but also as a natural part of their daily preparation process. Applied studies have examined these skills in relation to mental toughness, self-confidence, attentional control, and emotional flexibility (Oguntuase & Sun, 2022; Wong et al., 2022)..

7. Conclusion

In the sport context, emotion regulation, stress, and anxiety management represent fundamental areas of research demonstrating that performance cannot be explained solely by technical execution and physical capacity. The quality, intensity, and directional interpretation of emotions, as well as the type and timing of regulation strategies, jointly influence performance experience and psychological adjustment (Lazarus, 2000; Lane et al., 2012; Beatty & Janelle, 2020).

The literature indicates that the cognitive and somatic components of competitive anxiety, levels of self-confidence, stress appraisals, and coping processes should not be considered in isolation, but rather as interacting components within a dynamic system. Likewise, strategies such as expressive suppression, cognitive reappraisal, mindfulness, acceptance, self-talk, breathing regulation, and interpersonal support need to be planned in accordance with the athlete's task demands and individual characteristics (Craft et al., 2003; Woodman & Hardy, 2003; Tamminen et al., 2021).

Therefore, the primary aim in sport psychology practice is not to eliminate all negative emotions, but to enable athletes to manage their emotional, stress, and anxiety experiences in a manner that is aligned with task demands. A holistic approach, supported by psychological skills training, effective coach communication, and a facilitative team climate, contributes to the sustainable development of emotion regulation processes in sport settings (Nicholls et al., 2012; Josefsson et al., 2019; Wong et al., 2022).

References

Beatty, G. F., & Janelle, C. M. (2020). Emotion regulation and motor performance: An integrated review and proposal of the Temporal Influence Model of Emotion Regulation (TIMER). International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 13(1), 266-296. http://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2019.1695140

Bühlmayer, L., Birrer, D., Röthlin, P., Faude, O., & Donath, L. (2017). Effects of mindfulness practice on performance-relevant parameters and performance outcomes in sports: A meta-analytical review. Sports Medicine, 47(11), 2309-2321. http://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0752-9

Craft, L. L., Magyar, T. M., Becker, B. J., & Feltz, D. L. (2003). The relationship between the Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and sport performance: A meta-analysis. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 25(1), 44-65. http://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.25.1.44

Dehghani, M., Saf, A. R., Vosoughi, A., Tebbenouri, G., & Zarnagh, H. G. (2018). Effectiveness of the mindfulness-acceptance-commitment-based approach on athletic performance and sports competition anxiety: A randomized clinical trial. Electronic Physician, 10(5), 6749-6755. http://doi.org/10.19082/6749

Ford, J. L., Ildefonso, K., Jones, M. L., & Arvinen-Barrow, M. (2017). Sport-related anxiety: Current insights. Open Access Journal of Sports Medicine, 8, 205-212. http://doi.org/10.2147/OAJSM.S125845

Gardner, F. L., & Moore, Z. E. (2004). A mindfulness-acceptance-commitment-based approach to athletic performance enhancement: Theoretical considerations. Behavior Therapy, 35(4), 707-723. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(04)80016-9

Gardner, F. L., & Moore, Z. E. (2012). Mindfulness and acceptance models in sport psychology: A decade of basic and applied scientific advancements. Canadian Psychology, 53(4), 309-318. http://doi.org/10.1037/a0030220

Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. Review of General Psychology, 2(3), 271-299. http://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271

Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85(2), 348-362. http://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348

Hanton, S., Neil, R., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2008). Recent developments in competitive anxiety direction and competition stress research. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1(1), 45-57. http://doi.org/10.1080/17509840701827445

Josefsson, T., Ivarsson, A., Gustafsson, H., Stenling, A., Lindwall, M., Tornberg, R., & Böröy, J. (2019). Effects of mindfulness-acceptance-commitment (MAC) on sport-specific dispositional mindfulness, emotion regulation, and self-rated athletic performance in a multiple-sport population: An RCT study. Mindfulness, 10(8), 1518-1529. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-019-01098-7

Lane, A. M., Jones, M. V., Uphill, M., Devonport, T. J., Beedie, C. J., & Beedie, C. (2012). The BASES expert statement on emotion regulation in sport. Journal of Sports Sciences, 30(11), 1189-1195. http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.693621

Lazarus, R. S. (2000). How emotions influence performance in competitive sports. The Sport Psychologist, 14(3), 229-252. http://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.14.3.229

Martens, R., Vealey, R. S., & Burton, D. (1990). Competitive anxiety in sport. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Mellalieu, S. D., Neil, R., Hanton, S., & Fletcher, D. (2009). Competition stress in sport performers: Stressors experienced in the competition environment. Journal of Sports Sciences, 27(7), 729-744. http://doi.org/10.1080/02640410902889834

Neil, R., Hanton, S., Mellalieu, S. D., & Fletcher, D. (2011). Competition stress and emotions in sport performers: The role of further appraisals. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 12(4), 460-470. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.02.001

Nicholls, A. R., & Polman, R. C. J. (2007). Coping in sport: A systematic review. Journal of Sports Sciences, 25(1), 11-31. http://doi.org/10.1080/02640410600630654

Nicholls, A. R., Polman, R. C. J., & Levy, A. R. (2012). A path analysis of stress appraisals, emotions, coping, and performance satisfaction among athletes. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 13(3), 263-270. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.12.003

Oguntuase, S. B., & Sun, Y. (2022). Effects of mindfulness training on resilience, self-confidence and emotion regulation of elite football players: The mediating role of locus of control. Asian Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 2(3), 198-205. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajsep.2022.08.003

Robazza, C., Pellizzari, M., & Hanin, Y. L. (2004). Emotion self-regulation and athletic performance: An application of the IZOF model. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 5(4), 379-404. http://doi.org/10.1016/S1469-0292(03)00034-7

Tamminen, K. A., Kim, J., Danyluck, C., McEwen, C. E., Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Wolf, S. A. (2021). The effect of self- and interpersonal emotion regulation on athletes' anxiety and goal achievement in competition. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 57, 102034. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.102034

Wagstaff, C. R. D. (2014). Emotion regulation and sport performance. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 36(4), 401-412. http://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2013-0257

Wong, R. S. K., Kuan, G., Ariffin, H., & Mahat, N. I. (2022). The effectiveness of a mindfulness training program on athletes' coping skills, psychological

wellbeing, and athletic performance. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 906729. http://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.906729

Woodman, T., & Hardy, L. (2003). The relative impact of cognitive anxiety and self-confidence upon sport performance: A meta-analysis. Journal of Sports Sciences, 21(6), 443-457. http://doi.org/10.1080/0264041031000101809.

Chapter 2

BRAIN, BEHAVIOR AND PERFORMANCE: NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL APPROACHES IN SPORTS

Yunus ŞAHİNLER[1]

1. Introduction

Sport performance is a complex and multidimensional construct shaped not only by physiological (physiological) and biomechanical (biomechanical) capacities, but also by cognitive (cognitive) and neuropsychological (neuropsychological) processes. While traditional sport science has primarily focused on physical determinants of performance, recent advances in neuroscience (neuroscience) have emphasized the central role of the brain (brain) in regulating behavior (behavior) and optimizing athletic outcomes (Erickson et al., 2015; Yarrow et al., 2009).

The relationship between brain (beyin), behavior (davranış), and performance (performans) provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how athletes perceive environmental stimuli (uyaranları algılama), process information (bilgi işleme), make decisions (karar verme), and execute motor actions (motor eylemler) under time pressure. In competitive sports, where performance often depends on milliseconds and precise execution, cognitive functions such as attention (dikkat), executive functions (yürütücü işlevler), and reaction time (reaksiyon süresi) play a decisive role (Diamond, 2013; Abernethy, 2001).

Neuropsychological approaches (nöropsikolojik yaklaşımlar) in sports aim to explain how neural mechanisms (nöral mekanizmalar) influence performance and

[1] İstanbul Gelişim Üniversitesi, Spor Bilimler Fakültesi, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5268-020X, ysahinler@gelisim.edu.tr

how these mechanisms can be enhanced through training (antrenman). Research has shown that elite athletes demonstrate superior neural efficiency (nöral verimlilik), faster information processing speed (bilgi işleme hızı), and more effective decision-making abilities compared to non-athletes (Yarrow et al., 2009). These differences are associated with both structural (yapısal) and functional (fonksiyonel) adaptations in the brain resulting from long-term training (Raichlen & Alexander, 2017).

In addition, the concept of neuroplasticity (nöroplastisite)—the brain's ability to reorganize itself in response to experience—has become a key principle in understanding skill acquisition (beceri kazanımı) and performance development in sports. Repeated practice and motor learning processes strengthen neural pathways (nöral bağlantılar), leading to more efficient and automated movement patterns (Voss et al., 2011). This highlights that performance improvement is not solely dependent on physical training, but also on cognitive and neural adaptations.

Furthermore, psychological factors such as motivation (motivasyon), stress (stres), and anxiety (kaygı) are closely linked to brain function and significantly affect athletic performance. The regulation of emotional and cognitive states is mediated by neural systems involving the prefrontal cortex (prefrontal korteks) and limbic structures (limbik sistem), which influence both decision-making and motor execution (Beilock & Carr, 2001). Therefore, understanding the interaction between emotional regulation (duygusal düzenleme) and cognitive control (bilişsel kontrol) is essential for optimizing performance in high-pressure situations.

Given these considerations, integrating neuropsychological principles into sport science offers a more holistic understanding of performance (bütüncül performans anlayışı). This chapter aims to examine the role of brain-based mechanisms (beyin temelli mekanizmalar), cognitive processes (bilişsel süreçler), and neuroplastic adaptations (nöroplastik adaptasyonlar) in sport

performance, and to discuss their practical implications for training and performance enhancement.

2. Brain-Based Mechanisms in Sport Performance

2.1. Motor Control and Movement Planning

Motor control and movement planning are fundamental determinants of sport performance, referring to the complex neurophysiological processes that enable individuals to perceive environmental information and generate appropriate motor responses. These processes involve not only the execution of movement but also the planning, organization, and adaptation of actions in response to dynamic environmental conditions (Schmidt & Lee, 2011; Wolpert, Diedrichsen, & Flanagan, 2011). Contemporary neuroscience research indicates that these functions are not localized to a single brain region but rather emerge from distributed fronto-parietal networks that integrate sensory inputs with motor intentions (Andersen & Cui, 2009; Gertz, Fiehler, & Schenk, 2017).

The interaction between the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) and premotor cortex plays a central role in movement planning. The PPC is primarily responsible for encoding spatial representations of targets and limb positions, while premotor areas transform this information into motor plans and action strategies. This interaction enables athletes to rapidly process environmental cues and select appropriate actions under time pressure, which is critical in high-performance sport settings (Wolpert et al., 2011; Filimon, 2010).

Movement planning is commonly described through two major pathways: the dorsal and ventral streams. The dorsal pathway, connecting parietal and dorsal premotor regions, is primarily involved in reaching movements and trajectory planning, whereas the ventral pathway is associated with grasping and object-related motor actions. The coordination between these pathways allows for efficient transformation of sensory information into motor output (Filimon, 2010; Shadmehr & Krakauer, 2008).

Within the parietal cortex, regions such as the superior parietal lobule (SPL) and intraparietal sulcus (IPS) are critical for encoding spatial aspects of movement, while the dorsal premotor cortex (PMd) is involved in transforming these representations into executable motor trajectories. Evidence suggests that SPL is more engaged in planning simple, straight movements, whereas PMd is particularly important for complex or curved trajectories and situations requiring adaptive motor responses (Pilacinski & Lindner, 2019; Gertz et al., 2017).

Movement planning follows a temporally organized sequence of neural processes. Initially, sensory information about the target is processed, followed by the transformation of this information into motor plans within parietal and premotor regions. Finally, these plans are executed through the primary motor cortex (M1), which generates motor commands to the muscles. Subcortical structures such as the cerebellum and basal ganglia contribute to coordination, timing, and error correction during movement execution (Kandel, Koester, Mack, & Siegelbaum, 2021).

In addition to planning, motor control also involves online control mechanisms that allow for real-time adjustments during movement. These processes rely on continuous sensory feedback and involve partially distinct but overlapping neural networks compared to planning. While premotor and parietal areas dominate during planning, execution and feedback processes engage the primary motor cortex, cerebellum, and somatosensory regions (Scott, 2004).

Furthermore, similar fronto-parietal networks are activated during motor imagery and action observation, suggesting that movement planning is represented not only during physical execution but also at a cognitive level. This overlap provides a neural basis for mental training techniques and supports their effectiveness in performance enhancement and rehabilitation contexts (Filimon, 2010).

In conclusion, motor control and movement planning emerge from the coordinated activity of distributed fronto-parietal networks. The integration of spatial representations in the parietal cortex with motor planning functions in

premotor regions enables efficient and adaptive movement execution. Therefore, optimizing sport performance requires not only physical training but also the development of cognitive and neurophysiological processes underlying motor behavior.

2.2. Decision-Making and Game Intelligence

Decision-making and game intelligence are critical cognitive components of sport performance, particularly in dynamic and time-constrained environments such as team sports. Athletes are required to continuously perceive environmental cues, anticipate opponents' actions, and select optimal responses under uncertainty. These processes rely on advanced cognitive mechanisms including perception, attention, prediction, and strategic reasoning (Abernethy, 2001; Yarrow, Brown, & Krakauer, 2009).

Game intelligence refers to an athlete's ability to interpret game situations, anticipate future events, and make effective decisions that maximize performance outcomes. This concept is closely associated with perceptual-cognitive expertise, which distinguishes elite athletes from novices. Research consistently shows that expert performers process information more efficiently, recognize patterns more accurately, and make faster and more precise decisions compared to less experienced individuals (Abernethy, 2001; Mann, Williams, Ward, & Janelle, 2007).

From a neuropsychological perspective, decision-making in sport is supported by distributed brain networks involving the prefrontal cortex, parietal regions, and motor-related areas. The prefrontal cortex plays a key role in evaluating options, inhibiting inappropriate responses, and selecting goal-directed actions, while parietal regions contribute to spatial awareness and integration of sensory information. These networks work together to enable rapid and adaptive decision-making under pressure (Diamond, 2013; Andersen & Cui, 2009).

Decision-making in sports can be conceptualized as a continuous interaction between perception and action. Athletes do not simply react to stimuli; rather,

they actively predict and simulate future scenarios based on prior experience and contextual information. This process is often described within the framework of perceptual-cognitive coupling, where perception and action are tightly linked and continuously updated during performance (Williams & Ford, 2008).

In complex game situations, athletes must also consider the behavior of other players, including teammates and opponents. This interactive component resembles strategic decision-making processes described in game theory, where outcomes depend on the actions of multiple agents. Although athletes do not explicitly compute formal equilibria, their decisions reflect adaptive strategies developed through experience and learning, allowing them to respond effectively to dynamic and uncertain environments (Raab, 2003; Yarrow et al., 2009).

Another important aspect of decision-making is anticipation. Skilled athletes are able to predict opponents' actions based on subtle kinematic cues, enabling faster and more accurate responses. This anticipatory ability reduces reaction time and enhances performance, particularly in high-speed sports such as football, basketball, and combat sports (Mann et al., 2007).

Training interventions aimed at improving decision-making and game intelligence often focus on enhancing perceptual and cognitive skills. These include video-based training, small-sided games, and simulation-based environments that replicate real-game conditions. Such approaches promote the development of pattern recognition, anticipation, and decision speed, which are essential for high-level performance (Williams & Ford, 2008).

In addition, recent research highlights the role of cognitive load (bilişsel yük) in decision-making. High cognitive demands can impair performance by reducing attentional capacity and slowing information processing. Therefore, effective training should aim to optimize cognitive load, allowing athletes to maintain performance under pressure while minimizing decision errors (Diamond, 2013).

3. Cognitive and Psychological Factors Affecting Performance

Cognitive and psychological factors play a fundamental role in shaping sport performance, particularly in environments that require rapid information processing, decision-making, and motor execution under pressure. Performance is not determined by a single cognitive ability; rather, it emerges from a dynamic interaction between general cognitive abilities, perceptual-motor skills, task-specific knowledge, and psychological regulation (Ackerman, 1988; Wang et al., 2015).

Early stages of skill acquisition are strongly influenced by general cognitive abilities such as fluid intelligence, working memory, and information processing speed. However, as practice increases and skills become more automated, perceptual-motor abilities and domain-specific knowledge become more prominent predictors of performance (Ackerman, 1988; Adams, 1987). This shift reflects a transition from controlled processing to automatic processing, where less cognitive effort is required and performance becomes more efficient.

Task difficulty also modulates the contribution of cognitive processes. More complex tasks require greater involvement of higher-order cognitive functions such as executive control, decision-making, and strategic planning, whereas simpler tasks rely more on perceptual-motor processes (Meyer et al., 2021). This indicates that performance is context-dependent and that different cognitive subsystems are activated depending on task demands.

Metacognition (bilişüstü süreçler) represents another critical determinant of performance. It refers to the ability to monitor, regulate, and control one's cognitive processes during task execution. Expert athletes demonstrate superior metacognitive skills, allowing them to allocate attention effectively, adjust strategies, and maintain performance under pressure (MacIntyre et al., 2014). This highlights that expertise is not only a function of skill execution but also of cognitive regulation.

Psychological factors such as stress, fatigue, and motivation significantly influence cognitive performance. Cognitive fatigue (bilişsel yorgunluk) arises

from prolonged mental effort and is associated with reduced attention, slower information processing, and impaired decision-making (Behrens et al., 2022).

Cognitive load (bilişsel yük) is particularly important in sport settings, where athletes must process multiple sources of information simultaneously. Excessive cognitive load can overwhelm attentional resources, leading to decreased performance, especially in complex or high-pressure situations (Diamond, 2013). Therefore, effective performance depends on the ability to manage cognitive load efficiently.

Individual differences also play a significant role in performance outcomes. Abilities such as perceptual speed, visuospatial processing, and working memory capacity vary across individuals and influence how effectively tasks are performed. These differences suggest that training programs should be individualized to match the cognitive profiles of athletes (Baniqued et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2020).

Furthermore, training interventions targeting cognitive processes—such as video-based simulations, perceptual training, and game-based learning—have shown potential in improving performance. However, transfer effects are often task-specific, indicating that improvements in one cognitive domain do not always generalize to others (Wang et al., 2015).

4. Neuroplasticity and Training

Neuroplasticity refers to the brain's capacity to reorganize structurally and functionally in response to experience, learning, and injury. Within the context of sport sciences, neuroplastic processes constitute the neurobiological foundation of motor skill acquisition, cognitive adaptation, and performance optimization. Contemporary research consistently demonstrates that training-induced plasticity is driven by repetitive, task-specific practice, which promotes synaptic strengthening and structural remodeling within neural circuits (Kleim & Jones, 2008; Hosp & Luft, 2011). Accordingly, neuroplasticity is not a static

phenomenon but a dynamic, experience-dependent process that evolves in response to training intensity, frequency, and environmental conditions.

At the core of neuroplasticity are Hebbian learning mechanisms, which describe how repeated co-activation of neurons strengthens synaptic connections. Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) are widely recognized as the primary cellular substrates of learning and memory, particularly in motor skill acquisition (Kleim & Jones, 2008; Hosp & Luft, 2011). In addition to synaptic changes, structural adaptations—including dendritic branching, synaptogenesis, and axonal sprouting—play a crucial role in long-term neural reorganization. These mechanisms are especially evident in motor cortex plasticity observed during skill learning and post-injury recovery (Nudo, 2013). From a sports perspective, repeated execution of movement patterns enhances neural efficiency, coordination, and performance consistency through these plastic changes.

In recent years, non-invasive neuromodulation techniques have gained prominence as tools for enhancing training-induced plasticity. Techniques such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) modulate cortical excitability and facilitate motor learning when applied before or during task execution (Reis et al., 2009). These methods function as “priming” mechanisms that prepare neural circuits for more effective adaptation. When combined with structured training protocols, neuromodulation has been shown to accelerate skill acquisition and improve performance outcomes. Additionally, technological advancements such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and brain–machine interfaces (BMI) provide enriched, interactive environments that promote multisensory engagement and adaptive neural reorganization, thereby enhancing both motor and cognitive training processes.

At the biological level, neuroplasticity is mediated by several molecular and neurochemical factors. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) plays a central role in synaptic plasticity, neuronal survival, and learning-related neural

adaptations (Park & Poo, 2013). Furthermore, neurotransmitter systems—including dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine—regulate attention, motivation, and reward processing, all of which are critical for effective learning and performance. In sport contexts, these neurochemical processes influence not only motor execution but also decision-making and psychological readiness. Sleep is another key modulator of neuroplasticity, as it facilitates memory consolidation and stabilizes newly acquired motor and cognitive skills. Empirical evidence indicates that sleep following training enhances both retention and performance efficiency (Walker & Stickgold, 2004).

Neuroplasticity is also strongly influenced by environmental and sensory factors. Multisensory training environments that integrate visual, auditory, and proprioceptive inputs activate broader neural networks and facilitate more robust learning. For example, music-based training and action observation strategies engage mirror neuron systems and enhance motor learning through cross-modal plasticity (Herholz & Zatorre, 2012). Environmental enrichment, characterized by increased cognitive and sensory stimulation, has been shown to accelerate neural adaptation and improve performance outcomes. These findings emphasize the importance of designing training programs that are not only physically demanding but also cognitively engaging and sensorily rich.

From a translational perspective, neuroplasticity provides a scientific framework for developing evidence-based training and rehabilitation protocols. Effective programs typically incorporate principles such as high repetition, task specificity, progressive overload, and individualized adaptation. In clinical settings, neuroplasticity-driven interventions have been successfully applied in stroke rehabilitation and other neurological conditions, leading to significant improvements in functional recovery (Langhorne et al., 2011). In sport sciences, these principles translate into optimized training strategies that enhance motor learning, decision-making speed, and overall performance. Integrating neuromodulation, advanced technologies, and recovery strategies (e.g., sleep optimization) further amplifies these effects.

Despite the strong consensus regarding the benefits of neuroplasticity-based training, certain nuances remain. The effectiveness of neuromodulation techniques varies depending on individual differences, task characteristics, and timing of application. Similarly, while BDNF is widely recognized as a key biomarker of plasticity, its relationship with functional outcomes is complex and influenced by multiple interacting variables. Additionally, although the role of sleep in plasticity is well established, the optimal timing and integration of sleep within training programs require further investigation. These considerations highlight the need for individualized and context-specific approaches in both sport and rehabilitation settings.

5. Neuropsychological Training Methods in Sports

Neuropsychological methods play a central role in the assessment, rehabilitation, and safe return-to-play (RTP) decision-making processes in athletes, particularly following sport-related concussion (SRC) and mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). Contemporary literature emphasizes a multidimensional and integrative approach that combines neuropsychological testing, symptom evaluation, vestibulo-ocular and cervical assessments, and progressively structured cognitive and physical loading. This comprehensive framework reflects the complex interaction between cognitive, motor, and neurophysiological systems in athletic performance and recovery (McCrory et al., 2013; Ellis et al., 2017).

Neuropsychological assessment constitutes a foundational component of concussion management. Current consensus guidelines highlight that assessment should not rely solely on computerized cognitive screening tools, but rather incorporate a multimodal evaluation including cognitive performance, symptom inventories, balance measures, and psychological status (McCrory et al., 2013; Broglio et al., 2014). This integrated approach allows clinicians to contextualize cognitive impairments within broader functional domains, thereby improving diagnostic accuracy and guiding individualized rehabilitation planning. In this

regard, neuropsychological testing is most informative when interpreted alongside clinical findings rather than used as a standalone diagnostic tool.

Beyond assessment, neuropsychological methods are increasingly integrated into rehabilitation frameworks. Evidence suggests that strict rest following concussion is often insufficient and may even delay recovery if prolonged. Instead, contemporary approaches advocate for gradual and symptom-limited progression of both physical and cognitive activity, which promotes neural recovery and functional reintegration (Leddy et al., 2016). Targeted interventions, including vestibular rehabilitation, oculomotor training, and cervical therapy, are commonly employed to address specific deficits identified during neuropsychological and clinical evaluations. These interventions are often combined with cognitive training strategies that aim to restore attention, processing speed, and executive function, all of which are critical for sport performance.

In applied sport settings, neuropsychological methods are embedded within structured return-to-play protocols. A typical workflow involves baseline cognitive testing, followed by post-injury reassessment to detect deviations in cognitive function. Athletes then progress through staged RTP protocols, beginning with light aerobic activity and gradually advancing to sport-specific training, provided they remain symptom-free. Neuropsychological re-evaluation is often conducted prior to full return to competition to ensure cognitive recovery and minimize reinjury risk (Comper et al., 2012; McCrory et al., 2013). This staged and evidence-based approach reflects the necessity of balancing recovery with performance demands.

The integration of neuropsychological expertise within multidisciplinary teams is a critical determinant of effective concussion management. Programs that include sports physicians, neuropsychologists, physiotherapists, and athletic trainers demonstrate improved outcomes due to coordinated assessment and intervention strategies (Ellis et al., 2017). However, access to trained neuropsychologists remains uneven across sport settings, which may affect the

consistency and quality of RTP decisions. Consequently, while computerized neurocognitive tools such as ImPACT, ANAM, and CogSport are widely used for screening and monitoring, they are not substitutes for comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation (Cantu, 2006; McCrory et al., 2013).

Importantly, neuropsychological training methods extend beyond injury contexts and are increasingly applied to performance optimization. Cognitive-motor integration training, including dual-task paradigms and sport-specific decision-making drills, reflects the reality that athletic performance often requires simultaneous processing of cognitive and motor demands. These approaches are particularly relevant in sports such as football, basketball, and orientation-based disciplines, where rapid perception-action coupling is essential. Such training methods leverage neuroplasticity principles to enhance reaction time, attention, and decision-making under pressure.

Despite growing consensus, several challenges and ongoing debates remain within the field. One key issue concerns the optimal timing and content of cognitive rehabilitation interventions. While gradual activity is generally supported, the precise balance between rest and activity varies depending on individual characteristics such as age, injury severity, and symptom profile (Leddy et al., 2016). Additionally, variability in normative data, test selection, and interpretation standards presents challenges for standardization across different populations and sport contexts (Ellis et al., 2017).

Furthermore, although computerized neuropsychological tests offer practical advantages, their sensitivity and ecological validity are sometimes questioned. Many researchers emphasize that these tools should be used as part of a broader clinical framework rather than as definitive indicators of recovery. Similarly, the long-term cognitive effects of repetitive head impacts remain an area of active investigation, highlighting the need for continued research and refinement of neuropsychological protocols.

6. Technology-Based Performance Analysis

Advances in wearable technologies, particularly inertial measurement units (IMUs), force and pressure sensors, and integrated sensor fusion systems, have fundamentally transformed sport performance analysis. Traditionally confined to laboratory environments, biomechanical assessment has now shifted toward ecologically valid, field-based evaluation. This transition allows real-time monitoring of athletes in natural training and competition settings, thereby enhancing the practical relevance of performance analytics. Current literature consistently highlights that wearable sensor systems provide reliable, scalable, and cost-effective solutions for quantifying kinematic, kinetic, and biomechanical variables across a wide range of sports, including team sports, winter sports, racket sports, and adaptive sports contexts.

At the core of this technological transformation are IMUs and micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS), which integrate accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers to capture multidimensional movement data. These sensors enable the estimation of orientation, angular velocity, and linear acceleration, forming the basis for advanced biomechanical metrics such as joint angles, stride parameters, and movement velocity. Their portability and flexibility make them particularly valuable for in-field analysis, where traditional motion capture systems are impractical. However, accurate measurement depends heavily on sensor placement, calibration, and data processing strategies, highlighting the need for sport-specific validation protocols.

Sensor fusion approaches further enhance performance analysis by combining IMU data with global positioning systems (GPS) or local positioning systems (LPS). While IMUs provide high-frequency motion data, GPS systems contribute spatial tracking and velocity over large distances, making this integration especially valuable in team sports, endurance sports, and open-field environments. In indoor settings, where GNSS signals are limited, local positioning systems and video-based tracking technologies serve as alternatives. The integration of these systems allows for a more comprehensive understanding

of athlete movement patterns, workload, and spatial behavior during performance.

In practical applications, wearable sensors enable the extraction of a wide range of performance metrics. Kinematic and spatiotemporal variables such as stride length, cadence, joint angles, and movement cycles can be measured in sports like running, skiing, and skating. In team sports, these technologies facilitate monitoring of external load metrics, including acceleration profiles, deceleration patterns, and player workload indices. Additionally, IMU-based systems are widely used to assess jumping performance, plyometric load, and fatigue-related changes in movement patterns, providing coaches with actionable insights for training optimization.

In adaptive and Paralympic sports, technology-based performance analysis plays an even more critical role. IMUs and related sensors are used to evaluate propulsion mechanics, stability, and equipment–athlete interaction in wheelchair sports. These applications highlight the importance of individualized performance assessment and the need for context-specific interpretation of sensor data. Furthermore, validation studies demonstrate that IMU-derived metrics show strong agreement with gold-standard methods such as optical motion capture and force platforms, although variability exists depending on movement type and sensor configuration.

Despite these advancements, several limitations and methodological challenges persist. One of the primary concerns is sensor drift, which can accumulate over time and affect the accuracy of kinematic estimations. To address this issue, researchers employ advanced filtering techniques, such as Kalman filters and sensor fusion algorithms, to correct measurement errors. Additionally, variability in sensor placement and lack of standardized protocols across studies limit the comparability of findings. These challenges underscore the importance of establishing standardized methodologies for wearable sensor deployment and data processing.

Another important consideration is the balance between ecological validity and measurement precision. While wearable sensors enable real-world data collection, their accuracy may be lower than laboratory-based systems under certain conditions. Therefore, validation against reference systems remains essential, particularly when developing new performance metrics or applying technologies in novel sport contexts. Moreover, practical factors such as battery life, data synchronization, and usability influence the adoption of wearable technologies in professional sport environments.

From a future-oriented perspective, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning with wearable sensor systems is expected to further enhance performance analysis. AI-driven models can process large volumes of sensor data to identify patterns related to technique, fatigue, and injury risk. Real-time analytics platforms are increasingly being developed to provide immediate feedback to athletes and coaches, enabling data-driven decision-making during training and competition. Additionally, innovations in e-textiles and smart fabrics offer the potential for seamless and continuous monitoring, improving athlete comfort and long-term data collection.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

Contemporary sport science increasingly converges on a unifying proposition: optimal athletic performance emerges from the coordinated interaction of neurobiological, cognitive, and technological systems. Across the sections of this chapter, it becomes evident that brain-based mechanisms (e.g., neuroplasticity), psychological processes (e.g., decision-making, attention, and metacognition), and data-driven technologies (e.g., wearable sensors and performance analytics) are no longer independent domains but components of an integrated performance ecosystem.

From a neurobiological standpoint, neuroplasticity provides the adaptive substrate through which training reshapes motor and cognitive capacities. Repetitive, task-specific practice induces both functional and structural changes

in neural networks, enhancing efficiency and skill execution. These processes are further modulated by contextual factors such as sleep, motivation, and neuromodulatory states, reinforcing the idea that performance is not solely determined by physical training but by the optimization of the entire neurocognitive system (Kleim & Jones, 2008; Park & Poo, 2013).

At the psychological level, cognitive and perceptual processes—particularly attention control, anticipation, and decision-making—play a decisive role in high-performance sport. Elite athletes demonstrate superior perceptual-cognitive expertise, enabling faster and more accurate responses under dynamic and uncertain conditions (Mann et al., 2007). Importantly, these skills are trainable and can be enhanced through targeted cognitive and neuropsychological training methods, including dual-task paradigms and sport-specific decision-making drills.

Technological advancements have further transformed how performance is assessed and optimized. Wearable sensors, IMUs, and AI-driven analytics provide objective, real-time insights into movement patterns, workload, and physiological responses. These tools bridge the gap between laboratory research and field application, enabling ecologically valid performance monitoring and individualized training interventions. However, the effectiveness of such technologies depends on rigorous validation, standardized protocols, and meaningful interpretation of data within the specific context of each sport (Camomilla et al., 2018).

A critical implication of this integrated perspective is the necessity of multidisciplinary collaboration. Coaches, sport scientists, neuroscientists, psychologists, and data analysts must work within a unified framework to design training programs that simultaneously target physical, cognitive, and neural adaptation. Such an approach is particularly important in injury prevention and rehabilitation, where return-to-play decisions must consider not only physical recovery but also cognitive readiness and neural function (McCrory et al., 2017).

Despite significant progress, several challenges remain. These include the need for standardized assessment protocols, improved accessibility to advanced technologies, and deeper understanding of individual differences in neurocognitive adaptation. Furthermore, the integration of large-scale data analytics with individualized training requires careful ethical consideration, particularly regarding data privacy and athlete monitoring.

In conclusion, the future of sport performance lies in the integration of neuroscience, psychology, and technology into a cohesive, evidence-based framework. By leveraging neuroplasticity, enhancing cognitive function, and utilizing advanced performance analytics, practitioners can develop more effective, individualized, and sustainable training strategies. This holistic approach not only maximizes athletic performance but also promotes long-term athlete health and well-being. Future research should focus on refining interdisciplinary models, improving ecological validity, and advancing personalized performance optimization strategies in both elite and recreational sport contexts.

References

Abernethy, B. (2001). Attention. In R. N. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. M. Janelle (Eds.), Handbook of sport psychology (2nd ed., pp. 53–85).

Ackerman, P. L. (1988). Determinants of individual differences during skill acquisition. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 117(3), 288–318. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.117.3.288

Adams, J. A. (1987). Historical review and appraisal of research on the learning, retention, and transfer of human motor skills. Psychological Bulletin, 101(1), 41–74. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.101.1.41

Adesida, Y., Papi, E., & McGregor, A. (2019). Exploring the role of wearable technology in sport kinematics and kinetics: A systematic review. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/19/7/1597

Andersen, R. A., & Cui, H. (2009). Intention, action planning, and decision making in parietal–frontal circuits. Neuron, 63(5), 568–583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.08.028

Baca, A., Dabnichki, P., Heller, M., & Kornfeind, P. (2022). Ubiquitous computing in sports: A review and analysis. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/3/1028

Baniqued, P. L., et al. (2013). Brain training and video games. Frontiers in Psychology, 4, 101.https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00101

Behrens, M., Gube, M., Chaabene, H., & Prieske, O. (2022). Fatigue and human performance: An updated framework. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 138, 104682.

Beilock, S. L., & Carr, T. H. (2001). On the fragility of skilled performance: What governs choking under pressure? Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 130(4), 701–725. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.130.4.701

Broglio, S. P., & Puetz, T. W. (2008). The effect of sport concussion on neurocognitive function. Sports Medicine, 38(1), 53–67. https://link.springer.com/article/10.2165/00007256-200838010-00005

Camomilla, V., Bergamini, E., Fantozzi, S., & Vannozzi, G. (2018). Trends supporting the in-field use of wearable inertial sensors for sport performance evaluation. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/3/873

Cantu, R. C. (2001). Return to play guidelines after concussion. Clinical Sports Medicine, 20(1), 25–33. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0278591905702664

Comper, P., Bisschop, S. M., Carnide, N., & Tricco, A. (2005). A systematic review of treatments for mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury, 19(11), 863–880. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02699050500130363

Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750

Ellis, M. J., Cordingley, D., Vis, S., et al. (2015). Vestibulo-ocular dysfunction in pediatric sports-related concussion. Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics, 16(3), 248–255. https://thejns.org/pediatrics/view/journals/j-neurosurg-pediatr/16/3/article-p248.xml

Erickson, K. I., Hillman, C. H., & Kramer, A. F. (2015). Physical activity, brain, and cognition. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 4, 27–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.01.005

Filimon, F. (2010). Human cortical control of hand movements: Parietofrontal networks for reaching, grasping, and pointing. The Neuroscientist, 16(4), 388–407. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858410375468

Gellaerts, J., et al. (2018). Real-time performance analysis in ski mountaineering using IMUs. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/11/4022

Gertz, H., Fiehler, K., & Schenk, T. (2017). Goal representations in the human fronto-parietal network. Journal of Neuroscience, 37(25), 6204–6214. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3209-16.2017

Gómez-Carmona, C. D., et al. (2018). Reliability and validity of wearable inertial sensors in team sports. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/8/2669

Herholz, S. C., & Zatorre, R. J. (2012). Musical training as a framework for brain plasticity. Neuron, 76(3), 486–502.

Hosp, J. A., & Luft, A. R. (2011). Cortical plasticity during motor learning and recovery after ischemic stroke. Neural Plasticity, 2011, 871296.https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/871296

Kandel, E. R., Koester, J. D., Mack, S. H., & Siegelbaum, S. A. (2021). Principles of neural science (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Kleim, J. A., & Jones, T. A. (2008). Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 51(1), S225–S239.https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2008/018)

Langhorne, P., Bernhardt, J., & Kwakkel, G. (2011). Stroke rehabilitation. The Lancet, 377(9778), 1693–1702.

Leddy, J. J., Haider, M. N., Ellis, M. J., et al. (2019). Early subthreshold aerobic exercise for sport-related concussion. JAMA Pediatrics, 173(4), 319–325. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapediatrics/fullarticle/2720653

Lee, J. B., Mellifont, R. B., & Burkett, B. J. (2015). The use of a single inertial sensor to identify sport performance events. Journal of Biomechanics. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929015001489

Liu, Z., et al. (2020). Cognitive traits and visualization performance. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 26(1), 1024–1033.https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2019.2934807

MacIntyre, T. E., Igou, E. R., Campbell, M. J., Moran, A. P., & Matthews, J. (2014). Metacognition and action in sport. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 7(1), 38–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2013.876793

Mann, D. T. Y., Williams, A. M., Ward, P., & Janelle, C. M. (2007).Perceptual-cognitive expertise in sport: A meta-analysis.Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 29(4), 457–478.

McCrory, P., Meeuwisse, W., Dvořák, J., et al. (2017). Consensus statement on concussion in sport—the 5th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 51(11), 838–847. https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/51/11/838

Meyer, B., Young, A. W., & Burton, A. M. (2021). The structure of face cognition abilities. Cognition, 206, 104482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2020.104482

Nudo, R. J. (2013). Recovery after brain injury: Mechanisms and principles. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, 887.https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00887

Park, H., & Poo, M. M. (2013). Neurotrophin regulation of neural circuit development and function. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 14(1), 7–23.

Park, H., & Poo, M. M. (2013). Neurotrophin regulation of neural circuit development and function. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 14(1), 7–23. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3379

Pilacinski, A., & Lindner, A. (2019). Human motor cortical representation of trajectories. Journal of Neuroscience, 39(19), 3645–3659. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2608-18.2019

Raab, M. (2003).Decision making in sports: Influence of complexity.International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1(4), 406–433.https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2003.9671722

Raichlen, D. A., & Alexander, G. E. (2017). Adaptive capacity: An evolutionary neuroscience model linking exercise, cognition, and brain health. Trends in Neurosciences, 40(7), 408–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.05.001

Reis, J., Schambra, H. M., Cohen, L. G., et al. (2009). Noninvasive cortical stimulation enhances motor skill acquisition over multiple days. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(5), 1590–1595.https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805413106

Schmidt, R. A., & Lee, T. D. (2011). Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis (5th ed.). Human Kinetics.

Scott, S. H. (2004). Optimal feedback control and the neural basis of voluntary motor control. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 5(7), 532–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1427

Shadmehr, R., & Krakauer, J. W. (2008). A computational neuroanatomy for motor control. Experimental Brain Research, 185, 359–381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1280-5

Taborri, J., Palermo, E., Rossi, S., & Cappa, P. (2020). Wearable sensors for biomechanical performance analysis in sports. Sensors. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/20/12/3502

Voss, M. W., Nagamatsu, L. S., Liu-Ambrose, T., & Kramer, A. F. (2011). Exercise, brain, and cognition across the lifespan. Journal of Applied Physiology, 111(5), 1505–1513. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00210.2011

Walker, M. P., & Stickgold, R. (2004). Sleep-dependent learning and memory consolidation. Neuron, 44(1), 121–133.

Wang, Z., Wang, S., & Xu, Y. (2015). Cognitive abilities and skill learning. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 1230. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01230

Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2008).Expertise and expert performance in sport.International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1(1), 4–18.

Wolpert, D. M., Diedrichsen, J., & Flanagan, J. R. (2011). Principles of sensorimotor learning. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12(12), 739–751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3112

Yarrow, K., Brown, P., & Krakauer, J. W. (2009). Inside the brain of an elite athlete: The neural processes that support high achievement in sports. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10(8), 585–596. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2672

Chapter 3

DISABILITY, REHABILITATION, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL RECOVERY PROCESSES

Aslı ESENKAYA[1],Hasan ULUKAN[2]

1. Introductıon

Sports injuries, derived from the Latin word "injuria," meaning "insufficiency" or "damage" (Dereli, 2025), are among the significant health problems affecting athletes' performance and career continuity. Sports injuries are considered not only a physical problem but also a multifaceted process with psychological and social dimensions (Kurhan, 2025). For athletes, the experience of injury can have significant effects on identity, motivation, self-confidence, and psychological well-being, in addition to the loss of physical function (Ertoğan, 2017). Therefore, modern sports science literature emphasizes that injuries should be addressed not only through medical treatment and physical rehabilitation processes but also through the psychological recovery aspect (Podlog & Ivarsson, 2025).

It is known that athletes can experience intense emotional reactions during and after sports injuries (Kurhan, 2025). Research has shown that athletes frequently exhibit psychological reactions such as anxiety, depressive mood, anger, loss of self-confidence, and fear of re-injury during this process. These psychological responses can affect not only the perception of the recovery process but also the motivation to return to sport and adherence to the rehabilitation program (Aydoğan et al., 2022).

[1] Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Aydın Vocational School, Aydın, Türkiye.
Email: asli.esenkaya@adu.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7574-0618
[2] Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Sport Science.
Email: hasan.ulukan@adu.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2313-201X

One of the most important theoretical approaches to explaining psychological responses to sports injuries is the Psychological Response to Sports Injury Model developed by Wiese-Bjornstal et al. (Öner, 2022). This model suggests that responses to sports injuries develop through three basic processes: cognitive evaluation, emotional responses, and behavioral consequences. The model also states that individual and environmental factors such as personality traits, social support, sports environment, and injury severity influence athletes' adaptation to the rehabilitation process (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998).

The importance of psychological factors in the rehabilitation process has become even more evident in recent years through research (Duru et al., 2020; Kurhan, 2025). In particular, psychosocial variables such as motivation, self-efficacy perception, psychological resilience, and social support have been shown to have significant effects on adaptation to rehabilitation programs and the return-to-sport process. Providing psychological support to athletes increases their commitment to the rehabilitation program and improves the likelihood of a safe return to sport (Hoang et al., 2025; Wegner et al., 2025).

Furthermore, psychological barriers arising after sports injuries can directly affect the rehabilitation process (Yiğiter, 2025). For example, kinesiophobia, defined as the fear of movement, can delay athletes' return to physical activity and prolong the rehabilitation process. This demonstrates that sports injuries are not merely a biomechanical or physiological problem, but are also closely related to cognitive and emotional processes (Vlaeyen & Linton, 2000).

Recent studies in the fields of sports psychology and rehabilitation have revealed the need for a holistic approach to sports injuries (Öner, 2022). In this context, modern approaches to athlete health propose a multidisciplinary rehabilitation model where physiotherapists, sports physicians, psychologists, and coaches work together. This model emphasizes that interventions such as psychological skills training, goal setting, social support mechanisms, and psychological counseling are crucial components of rehabilitation programs (Ardern et al., 2014; Kelley, 2024). In conclusion, sports injuries are a complex

process affecting not only the physical performance of athletes but also their psychological well-being and career development.

2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1. Psychological Effects of Sports Injuries

Sports injuries are defined as any condition where the human body is subjected to excessive force, exceeding its endurance limits (Erol and Karahan, 2006). Psychological factors in sports injuries can increase the likelihood of injury and hinder proper healing; therefore, mental health intervention in sports injuries is crucial for injury prevention and successful recovery (Kelley et al., 2024).

For athletes, injury can have significant effects on performance loss and absence from training, as well as on sports identity, motivation, and psychological well-being (Podlog et al., 2014). Therefore, sports injuries are currently addressed from a biopsychosocial perspective in the fields of sports psychology and sports medicine. Research shows that sports injuries can affect the mental health of athletes, and this can directly impact the rehabilitation process (Haugen et al., 2022).

These findings reveal the decisive role of psychological processes in sports environments on performance and adaptation. Indeed, the sports psychology literature states that psychological characteristics of athletes, such as mental resilience, cognitive skills, and problem-solving capacity, have significant effects on athletic performance and coping processes in sports (Şahinler & Ersoy, 2025).

While psychological responses to sports injuries vary from individual to individual, the literature indicates that some common emotional and cognitive responses occur (Smith, 1996; Hsu et al., 2017). After an injury, athletes often experience emotional responses such as anxiety, depressive mood, anger, frustration, and fear of re-injury. These responses can be more intense, especially in cases of severe injuries or long rehabilitation processes (Ardern et al., 2013; Brewer, 2007). Sports activities have positive effects not only on physical health but also on psychological health (Dereceli et al., 2025). However, research shows

that individuals who participate in sports frequently experience psychological reactions such as depression, anxiety, and anger after suffering sports injuries, and these conditions can negatively affect the athletes' recovery process.

One of the most important theoretical approaches developed to explain the psychological effects of sports injuries is the Psychological Response to Sports Injury Model developed by Wiese-Bjornstal et al. (Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010). According to this model, athletes' responses to injury occur in three basic processes: cognitive evaluation, emotional response, and behavioral consequences. How an individual perceives the injury, the potential impact of the injury on their career, and their expectations regarding the rehabilitation process constitute the cognitive evaluation process; as a result of these evaluations, emotional responses such as anxiety, fear, or loss of motivation may arise. These emotional responses, in turn, affect athletes' adaptation to the rehabilitation program, training motivation, and return-to-sport behavior (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998).

The psychological effects of sports injuries are not limited only to individual emotional responses; they can also have significant consequences on athletes' self-perception and social relationships (Brewer, 2007; Park et al., 2013). Especially for elite athletes, sport constitutes an important part of their individual identity. Therefore, being sidelined from sports activities due to injury can lead to feelings of identity confusion and social isolation in athletes. Indeed, some studies show that sports injuries can have long-term effects on athletes' self-perception and psychological development (Podlog & Eklund, 2007; Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010).

One of the psychological effects of sports injuries is the cognitive and behavioral factors that affect athletes' adaptation to the rehabilitation process (Ardern et al., 2013). Situations such as loss of motivation, non-compliance with treatment, or fear of re-injury can delay the recovery process for athletes (Podlog & Eklund, 2007). Therefore, considering psychological factors in the rehabilitation process helps athletes better adapt to treatment programs. The

literature indicates that psychological support and psychological skills training increase adaptation to the rehabilitation process and facilitate the return to sport (Santi & Pietrantoni, 2013). Sports injuries can occur through different mechanisms in different sports branches. Musculoskeletal injuries are particularly common in branches like tennis, which involve high speed, sudden changes of direction, and repetitive movements. Research reports that wrist pain, tennis elbow, ankle sprains, and shoulder injuries are commonly seen in tennis players (Şahinler & Ekinci, 2024).

Furthermore, the relationships athletes have with their social environment after sports injuries also play an important role in the psychological recovery process (Darama, 2025). Social support provided by coaches, teammates, healthcare professionals, and family members helps athletes manage the injury process in a healthier way. Social support mechanisms strengthen athletes' stress coping skills and increase their motivation during the rehabilitation process. This shows that sports injuries are not only an individual experience but also a process influenced by social and environmental factors (Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010). In conclusion, sports injuries are a multidimensional condition affecting not only the physical health but also the psychological well-being of athletes.

2.2. Psychological Factors in the Rehabilitation Process

The rehabilitation process for sports injuries is a multidimensional process that includes not only physical recovery but also the psychological adaptation processes of athletes (Tranaeus et al., 2024). Today, in the sports medicine and sports psychology literature, it is accepted that the success of the rehabilitation process is not solely dependent on medical interventions, but that the psychological state of the athletes also has a significant impact on this process (Ardern et al., 2013). Athletes' cognitive evaluations of the injury, emotional responses, and behavioral tendencies can play a decisive role in their participation in the rehabilitation process and adherence to treatment. In this context, it is emphasized that the healing process of sports injuries should be addressed with a

biopsychosocial approach (Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010). Psychological characteristics of athletes play a significant role in rehabilitation processes. Studies in the field of sports psychology reveal that psychological processes such as motivation, attention, mental fatigue, and cognitive control have significant effects on sports performance and recovery processes (Şahinler, 2025).

In the rehabilitation process, psychological factors are generally evaluated within the framework of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions. How athletes perceive their injury, their expectations regarding the recovery process, and their thoughts about returning to sport can directly affect their motivation and adherence to treatment (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010). Research shows that the cognitive evaluations and emotional responses that athletes develop after injury can vary at different stages of the rehabilitation process. It is particularly noted that feelings of anxiety and uncertainty that arise in the initial stages of injury can affect athletes' adaptation to the rehabilitation process (Clement et al., 2015).

Motivation is one of the important psychological variables in the rehabilitation process (Eraslan and Dereceli, 2024). It is stated that athletes with high levels of motivation show greater commitment to rehabilitation programs and are more successful in the return to sport process. In particular, it is stated that athletes with high self-efficacy perception and intrinsic motivation levels participate more actively in the rehabilitation process and apply treatment programs more regularly. Research shows that athletes' compliance with rehabilitation programs is closely related to psychological factors such as motivation and self-efficacy (Brewer, 1999; Ivarsson et al., 2017).

Another important psychological factor affecting the rehabilitation process is the fear of re-injury (Kurhan, 2025). After sports injuries, many athletes may experience anxiety about re-injury even after the healing process is complete. This situation can limit athletes' participation in physical activities and cause the rehabilitation process to be prolonged. It has been noted that the fear of re-injury,

especially during the return-to-sport phase, can affect athletes' performance and psychological readiness (Ardern et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2017).

However, psychological conditions such as anxiety, stress, and loss of self-confidence experienced by athletes during the rehabilitation process can also affect the healing process. It is stated that athletes experiencing high levels of anxiety have reduced compliance with rehabilitation programs and their motivation towards the treatment process may decrease. Therefore, it is recommended that the psychological state of athletes be evaluated and psychological support be provided when necessary in the treatment of sports injuries (Wiese-Bjornstal, 2010).

Another important dimension of psychological factors in the rehabilitation process is social support (Kara, 2021). Social support provided by coaches, teammates, health professionals, and family members facilitates the adaptation of athletes to the rehabilitation process and accelerates the psychological healing process. It is stated that social support mechanisms strengthen athletes' stress coping skills and increase their motivation towards the rehabilitation process (Bianco & Eklund, 2001). In conclusion, psychological factors are considered one of the important determinants of the healing process of athletes in the rehabilitation process.

2.3. The Process of Returning to Sport and Psychological Preparation in Athletes

The process of returning to sport after sports injuries is a multidimensional process that is not limited solely to the completion of physical recovery (Kaçoğlu et al., 2018). Today, it is accepted in the sports science literature that the decision for an athlete's return to sport should be made by evaluating various factors together, including physical fitness, functional performance, and psychological readiness. Recent research, in particular, shows that psychological factors are a significant determinant in the return-to-sport process for athletes, and that physical recovery alone does not guarantee a return to sport (Ardern et al., 2013).

The psychological readiness of athletes in the return-to-sport process is explained in the literature by the concept of "psychological readiness to return to sport" (McPherson et al., 2019). This concept expresses how mentally and emotionally ready athletes feel to participate in sports activities again. Research shows that athletes with a high level of psychological readiness are more likely to return to sport after injury and recover their performance levels more quickly (Webster & Feller, 2022).

The psychological challenges athletes face during their return to sport are quite diverse (Johnston & Carroll, 1998). It is noted that in the post-injury period, athletes may experience fear of re-injury, performance anxiety, and doubts about their physical capabilities. These psychological factors can delay the return to sport and, in some cases, prevent athletes from fully returning to sport. Indeed, research shows that athletes' psychological readiness levels are directly related to their return-to-sport rates (Nagelli & Hewett, 2017).

Among the psychological factors in the return-to-sport process, motivation, self-confidence, anxiety level, and fear of re-injury are prominent variables (Cupal & Brewer, 2001).

It is stated that athletes with high levels of motivation and self-confidence participate more actively in the rehabilitation process and have more successful return-to-sport experiences (Bandura, 1997). Conversely, it is stated that the fear of re-injury can lead athletes to avoid movement, increase performance anxiety, and delay the return-to-sport process. Therefore, it is emphasized that not only physical recovery but also psychological recovery should be evaluated after sports injuries (Gómez-Espejo et al., 2022).

Several measurement tools have been developed to assess psychological readiness during the return to sport process. One of the most widely used is the Anterior Cruciate Ligament – Return to Sport after Injury (ACL-RSI) scale (Sell et al., 2024). This scale was developed to evaluate athletes' emotional state, self-confidence levels, and risk perceptions regarding their return to sport, and is widely used in assessing psychological readiness after sports injuries. Research

shows that the level of psychological readiness measured by the ACL-RSI scale is an important indicator in predicting whether athletes can return to competitive sport (Sell et al., 2024).

Furthermore, it is stated that the social environment plays an important role in the athletes' return to sport process. Social support provided by coaches, physiotherapists, sports psychologists, and teammates increases the motivation of athletes during the return to sport process and facilitates their psychological adjustment. Therefore, a multidisciplinary approach is currently adopted in the rehabilitation process of sports injuries, and the inclusion of psychological support programs in rehabilitation processes is recommended (Podlog et al., 2011; Liu & Noh, 2025). Consequently, the process of returning to sport after sports injuries is not a decision based solely on physical recovery.

3. Conclusion

Sports injuries are more than just a health problem affecting athletes' physical performance; they are a complex process encompassing psychological and social dimensions. The post-injury period represents a crucial transition for athletes, requiring both physical recovery and psychological adjustment. During this transition, how individuals perceive the injury, their emotional responses, and behavioral adjustments directly impact the success of the rehabilitation process. Therefore, approaches focusing solely on medical treatment are no longer considered sufficient in addressing sports injuries; the evaluation of psychological processes is becoming increasingly important. The effectiveness of the rehabilitation process is closely related to psychological variables such as athletes' motivation levels, self-esteem, anxiety levels, and fear of re-injury. These variables significantly influence athletes' participation in rehabilitation programs, adherence to treatment, and decisions to rejoin sports. For this reason, it is crucial to adopt a holistic approach to the recovery process after sports injuries, not limiting it solely to physical rehabilitation but also considering the psychological needs of athletes. The return-to-sport process is a critical stage for

athletes, requiring both physical fitness and psychological readiness. Approaching this process with confidence, a sense of competence, and psychological resilience will contribute to regaining performance and reducing the risk of re-injury. In this context, adopting a multidisciplinary approach involving sports psychologists, physiotherapists, sports physicians, and coaches will contribute to the healthier management of athletes' recovery and return-to-sport processes. In conclusion, the assessment and management of sports injuries require a comprehensive perspective that goes beyond physical treatment processes and includes psychological adaptation and social support mechanisms.

References

Ardern, C.L., Taylor, N.F., Feller, J.A., & Webster, K.E. (2013). A systematic review of the psychological factors associated with returning to sport following injury. British journal of sports medicine, 47(17), 1120-1126.

Ardern, C.L., Taylor, N.F., Feller, J.A., & Webster, K.E. (2014). Fifty-five per cent return to competitive sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis including aspects of physical functioning and contextual factors. British journal of sports medicine, 48(21), 1543-1552.

Aydoğan, Z., Kerkez, F.İ., Can, S., ve Manav, G. (2022). Spor yaralanmalarının psikolojik etkilerinin değerlendirilmesi. Mediterranean Journal of Sport Science, 5(2), 278-290.

Bianco, T., & Eklund, R.C. (2001). Conceptual considerations for social support research in sport and exercise settings: The case of sport injury. Journal of sport and exercise psychology, 23(2), 85-107.

Brewer, B.W. (1999). Adherence to sport injury rehabilitation regimens. Adherence issues in sport and exercise, 145-168.

Brewer, B.W. (2007). Psychology of sport injury rehabilitation.

Clement, D., Arvinen-Barrow, M., & Fetty, T. (2015). Psychosocial responses during different phases of sport-injury rehabilitation: a qualitative study. Journal of athletic training, 50(1), 95-104.

Cupal, D.D., & Brewer, B.W. (2001). Effects of relaxation and guided imagery on knee strength, reinjury anxiety, and pain following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Rehabilitation psychology, 46(1), 28.

Darama, H. (2025). Biyopsikososyal Yaklaşım. Spor & Bilim 2025: Sporcu Sağlığı, 31.

Dereceli, Ç., Dereceli, E., Temel, N.C., & Kaşka, F. (2025). Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Spora Katılım Davranışları İle Depresyon, Anksiyete Ve Stres Düzeyleri Arasındaki İlişki. Uluslararası Dağcılık ve Tırmanış Dergisi, 8(1), 1-14.

Dereli, Ö. (2025). Spor yaralanmalarına karşı koruyucu güç: Isınma ve germe. Beslenme, beden, zihin ve hareket: Spor bilimlerinde akademik çalışmalar, 1.

Duru, H., Sinan, F.N., Söner, O., ve Gültekin, F. (2020). Mesleki Rehabilitasyon Psikolojik Danışmanlığı: Bir Derleme (Rehabilitasyon Psikolojik Danışmanlığı). Turkish Studies-Educational Sciences, 15(6).

Eraslan, M., ve Dereceli, E. (2024). Motivasyonel Görüşme. Tıp ve Sağlık Bilimlerinde Güncel Araştırmalar-2024, 251.

Erol, B. ve Karahan, M., (2006). Çocuklarda Spor Yaralanmaları, Türkiye Klinikleri Pediatric Sciences, 4:89-97

Ertoğan, C. (2017). Sakatlık geçirmiş sporcuların stresle başa çıkabilme, öz yeterlik inancı ve sportif kendine güven durumlarının değerlendirilmesi. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Eskişehir Anadolu Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü. Eskişehir.

Gomez-Espejo, V., Olmedilla, A., Abenza-Cano, L., Garcia-Mas, A., & Ortega, E. (2022). Psychological readiness to return to sports practice and risk of recurrence: Case studies. Frontiers in psychology, 13, 905816.

Haugen, E. (2022). Athlete mental health & psychological impact of sport injury. Operative Techniques in Sports Medicine, 30(1), 150898.

Hoang, L.N., Joshi, P., Patel, D.R., & Apple, R.W. (2025). The Psychology of Sports Injuries in Children and Adolescents: Psychosocial, Developmental, and Recovery Aspects to Injury. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 22(10), 1509.

Hsu, C.J., Meierbachtol, A., George, S.Z., & Chmielewski, T.L. (2017). Fear of reinjury in athletes: implications for rehabilitation. Sports health, 9(2), 162-167.

Ivarsson, A., Tranaeus, U., Johnson, U., & Stenling, A. (2017). Negative psychological responses of injury and rehabilitation adherence effects on return to play in competitive athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Open access journal of sports medicine, 27-32.

Johnston, L.H., & Carroll, D. (1998). The provision of social support to injured athletes: a qualitative analysis. Journal of sport rehabilitation, 7(4), 267-284.

Kaçoğlu, C., Atalay, E., ve Turhan, B. (2018). Fiziksel temas içeren ve içermeyen sporlarda yaralanma sonrası spora dönüşte kinezyofobi ve depresyon düzeylerinin incelenmesi. Spor Hekimliği Dergisi, 53(2), 067-075.

Kara, E. (2021). Öğrenci Sporcularda Algılanan Stres ile Psikolojik Sağlamlık Ilişkisi: Başa Çıkma Stratejileri, Bilinçli Farkındalık ve Algılanan Sosyal Desteğin Aracılığ (Doctoral dissertation, Anadolu University (Turkey)).

Kelley, S., Martin, K., Perlmuter, M., & Sofla, M. (2024). Psychological Injury Rehabilitation: The Link Between Body and Mind. Imagine: A Promise Scholars & McNair Scholars Journal, 2(1).

Kurhan, C.O. (2025). Spor Yaralanmalarının Psikolojik ve Psikososyal Boyutları. Spor Yaralanmalarına Bütüncül Yaklaşım: Önleme, Psikososyal Etkiler ve Rehabilitasyon, 57.

Liu, S., & Noh, Y.E. (2025). The utility of psychological readiness scales in predicting return to sport: a systematic review. BMC psychology, 13(1), 1213.

McPherson, A.L., Feller, J.A., Hewett, T.E., & Webster, K.E. (2019). Psychological readiness to return to sport is associated with second anterior cruciate ligament injuries. The American journal of sports medicine, 47(4), 857-862.

Nagelli, C.V., & Hewett, T.E. (2017). Should return to sport be delayed until 2 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? Biological and functional considerations. Sports medicine, 47(2), 221-232.

Öner, Ç. (2022). Spor yaralanmaları psikolojisinin görsel haritalama üzerinden bibliyometrik analizi. Mediterranean Journal of Sport Science, 5(4), 904-932.

Park, S., Lavallee, D., & Tod, D. (2013). Athletes' career transition out of sport: A systematic review. International review of sport and exercise psychology, 6(1), 22-53.

Podlog, L., & Eklund, R.C. (2007). Professional coaches' perspectives on the return to sport following serious injury. Journal of applied sport psychology, 19(2), 207-225.

Podlog, L., & Ivarsson, A. (2025). Psychology of sport injury: Selected debates and contemporary issues. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 80, 102921.

Podlog, L., Dimmock, J., & Miller, J. (2011). A review of return to sport concerns following injury rehabilitation: practitioner strategies for enhancing recovery outcomes. Physical Therapy in Sport, 12(1), 36-42.

Santi, G., & Pietrantoni, L. (2013). Psychology of sport injury rehabilitation: a review of models and interventions. Journal of Human Sport and Exercise, 8(4), 1029-1044.

Sell, T.C., Zerega, R., King, V., Reiter, C.R., Wrona, H., Bullock, G.S., ... & Losciale, J.M. (2024). Anterior cruciate ligament return to sport after injury scale (ACL-RSI) scores over time after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Sports Medicine-Open, 10(1), 49.

Smith, A.M. (1996). Psychological impact of injuries in athletes. Sports Medicine, 22(6), 391-405.

Şahinler, Y. (2025). 2010–2025 Yılları Arasında Spor Psikolojisi ve Beyin İlişkili Çalışmaların Bibliyometrik Analizi (Web Of Science). Turkish Studies, 20(Ö1), 859.

Şahinler, Y., & Ekinci, N. E. (2024). Tenis Sporcularında Sakatlık (Yaralanma): Sistematik Bir İnceleme. Sportif Bakış: Spor ve Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 11(3), 289-301.

Şahinler, Y., & Ersoy, A. (2025). Mental toughness and problem-solving skills from a psychological perspective: A study on physically disabled athletes. Palaestra, 39(3).

Tranaeus, U., Gledhill, A., Johnson, U., Podlog, L., Wadey, R., Wiese Bjornstal, D., & Ivarsson, A. (2024). 50 years of research on the psychology of sport injury: A consensus statement. Sports medicine, 54(7), 1733-1748.

Vlaeyen, J.W., & Linton, S.J. (2000). Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. Pain, 85(3), 317-332.

Webster, K.E., & Feller, J.A. (2022). Psychological readiness to return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the adolescent athlete. Journal of athletic training, 57(9-10), 955-960.

Wegner, I., Schröder, J., & Reer, R. (2025). Availability, accessibility and effectiveness of psychological interventions following sports injuries or career termination in elite athletes: A systematic review. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 1-11.

Wiese-Bjornstal, D.M. (2010). Psychology and socioculture affect injury risk, response, and recovery in high-intensity athletes: a consensus statement. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports, 20, 103-111.

Wiese-Bjornstal, D.M., Smith, A.M., Shaffer, S.M., & Morrey, M.A. (1998). An integrated model of response to sport injury: Psychological and sociological dynamics. Journal of applied sport psychology, 10(1), 46-69.

Yiğiter, N. (2025). Spor Yaralanmalarında Korunma, Rehabilitasyon Ve Performansa. Teoriden Uygulamaya Her Yönüyle Spor-Iı, 135.

Chapter 4

PSYCHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND ETHICAL APPROACHES IN CHILD AND YOUTH ATHLETES

Sinan AKMAN[1]

1. Introduction

Sport is an important context that influences individuals' physical, psychological, and social development during childhood and adolescence. Therefore, youth sport should be approached not only as a performance- and competition-focused activity, but also as an environment that supports individuals' psychosocial development. One important approach that explains the relationship between sports participation and developmental processes is the Developmental Model of Sports Participation (DMSP). This model states that different sports experiences and game-based activities during childhood can support individuals' long-term participation in sports and their developmental gains (Côté & Abernethy, 2012; O'Donnell et al., 2020; Thomas & Güllich, 2019).

The developmental sports psychology approach also emphasizes that children's sports experiences should be evaluated taking into account their cognitive and social developmental characteristics (Weiss & Bredemeier, 1983). While sports participation can provide positive gains such as self-confidence, social skills, and life skills in young individuals, excessive competitive pressure or negative social environments can also lead to negative developmental experiences (Fraser-Thomas & Côté, 2009).

[1] Istanbul Gelisim University, Graduate Education Institute, Department of Sports Management, Istanbul/Türkiye,
Email: sinanakman52@gmail.com. Orcid No: 0009-0004-1487-5403

Furthermore, supportive sports environments, positive peer relationships, and adult guidance are among the important factors that strengthen the psychological development of young athletes (Espinoza et al., 2023; Super et al., 2018). Therefore, it is of great importance to adopt ethical approaches in youth sports that take developmental needs into account and consider athlete well-being.

1. Psychological Development in Child Athletes

1.1. Basic Concepts and Theoretical Frameworks

1.1.1. Developmental Model of Sport Participation (DMSP)

One of the important theoretical approaches explaining the developmental processes of child and youth athletes is the Developmental Model of Sport Participation (DMSP). This model suggests that different sports experiences and game-based activities in the early stages of sports participation can support individuals' long-term sports participation and potential specialization. According to the DMSP, diversified sports experiences and conscious play activities in the early stages increase children's interest in sports while also supporting their psychosocial development. In contrast, the specialization process emerges in later developmental stages, where contextual factors such as coach behaviors and parental attitudes play a decisive role (Côté & Abernethy, 2012; Thomas & Güllich, 2019).

Within this model, it is emphasized that psychosocial development is not a process independent of sports participation; rather, it develops concurrently with sports experiences. Experiencing different sports in the early years supports not only performance development but also the establishment of a sustainable relationship with sports and broad psychosocial development (Thomas & Güllich, 2019).

1.2. Developmental Approach to Children's Development in Sports

The developmental sports psychology approach provides an important theoretical framework for understanding children's experiences in sports

environments. According to Weiss and Bredemeier, children's ways of experiencing sports are closely related to their cognitive and social development levels. Cognitive and social changes that emerge during the ontogenetic development process affect how children perceive competition, how they interact with adults in sports environments, and how they cope with sports experiences. Therefore, age-appropriate theoretical approaches and research frameworks must be used to correctly understand the development processes of child athletes (Weiss & Bredemeier, 1983).

Early theoretical studies also emphasize that the cognitive and social changes that occur during childhood and adolescence significantly affect motivation, participation, and coping processes in a sports context. In particular, advances in identity development and executive functions play a decisive role in how children make sense of their sports experiences (Weiss & Bredemeier, 1983).

1.3. Positive and Negative Developmental Experiences in Sports

While sports participation offers important developmental opportunities for children and adolescents, it is known that both positive and negative experiences can arise in the sports environment. Research shows that sports can lead to positive outcomes in young individuals, such as the development of life skills, the establishment of meaningful social relationships, and the formation of a sense of community. However, factors such as poor coaching quality, parental pressure, and an overly competitive climate in the sports environment can also lead to psychologically challenging experiences (Fraser-Thomas & Côté, 2009).

Qualitative research shows that participation in sports can contribute to the development of skills such as self-awareness, goal setting, emotional regulation, and teamwork. However, when adequate social support is not provided in the sports environment or when adults fail to create an appropriate developmental environment, negative outcomes such as stress, social exclusion, and unhealthy competition may arise (Fraser-Thomas & Côté, 2009).

1.4. Psychological Development Mechanisms in Youth Sports

1.4.1. Self-Determination Theory and Basic Psychological Needs

One of the important theoretical approaches used to explain the psychological development of young athletes is Self-Determination Theory. According to this theory, individuals' psychological development and well-being depend on the fulfillment of three basic psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Meeting these needs in sports environments increases young athletes' motivation, resilience, and psychological well-being. Coaching approaches that support autonomy, meaningful feedback, and supportive peer relationships are among the factors that positively influence the development of young athletes (Tadesse et al., 2022; Fraser-Thomas & Côté, 2009; Kromerova-Dubinskiene, 2019).

Conversely, the obstruction of basic psychological needs in the sports environment can reduce individuals' motivation and negatively affect their development processes. Contextual factors such as sport type, training program structure, and coaching style play an important role in meeting psychological needs (Tadesse et al., 2022).

1.4.2. Developmental Assets and Social Support

Individual and social resources play an important role in the psychological development of child athletes. In addition to internal developmental assets such as self-regulation and self-esteem, social support provided by parents, coaches, and peers also strengthens the positive developmental outcomes of sports participation. Supportive social networks enhance young athletes' psychological well-being and social skills, while unsupportive environments can lead to a weakening of these gains (Espinoza et al., 2023; Super et al., 2018; Fraser-Thomas & Côté, 2009).

Especially for disadvantaged or vulnerable groups, sports participation can create a protective developmental environment when appropriate support mechanisms are provided. However, the structure of sports programs and the

social context play a decisive role in the emergence of these developmental outcomes (O'Donnell et al., 2020; Espinoza et al., 2023; Super et al., 2018).

1.4.3. Informal Sports Environments and Development

In addition to organized sports, informal and lifestyle sports can also contribute to children's psychological development. These types of sports environments typically offer opportunities for autonomy, identity discovery, and social interaction. Sports environments based on peer support and allowing children's active participation can support individuals' creativity, social inclusion, and psychosocial development processes (Säfvenbom et al., 2023).

1.4.4. Parental Attitudes and Family Factors

The family environment and parental attitudes play an important role in the psychological development of child athletes. Parents' approaches to the sports process can directly affect children's motivation levels, stress perceptions, and coping strategies. In particular, supportive parenting, open communication, and attitudes that encourage autonomy support the healthy psychological development of young athletes. Conversely, excessive pressure or negative parental attitudes can lead to a stressful sports experience and an increased risk of burnout (Lopukhova & Nurkhamitova, 2023; Murzina, 2025; Schemenauer & Chu, 2022; Nesterova & Barbashov, 2023; Louw & Louw, 2022).

2. Psychological Development in Young Athletes

2.1. Basic Concepts and Definitions

2.1.1. Psychological Skills

Psychological skills refer to the cognitive, emotional, and behavioral strategies athletes use to optimize their performance and psychological well-being. These skills include goal setting, imagery, self-talk, relaxation techniques, arousal regulation, self-confidence, and concentration (McCarthy et al., 2010; Milavić et al., 2019; Vecenāne & Vazne, 2025; Merlin et al., 2024). Developing

and systematically measuring psychological skills, especially in young athletes, constitutes an important area of research in terms of understanding the effects of these skills on performance and psychological well-being. In this context, psychological skill measurement tools developed for young athletes (e.g., PSIS-Y and PSIS-Y-SF) enable the reliable assessment of young athletes' psychological skill levels (Milavić et al., 2019). Athletes' psychological skills play a significant role in the sustainability of their performance. Skills such as concentration, confidence, goal setting, and the ability to perform under pressure affect athletes' success levels, and these skills can differ according to various demographic variables (Şahinler, 2021).

2.2. Psychological Development as a Process

Youth sports is a process that involves developmental transitions from childhood to adolescence and adulthood. During this process, significant changes occur in individuals' cognitive, emotional, and social development. Therefore, research in the field of sports psychology emphasizes the importance of implementing psychoeducational programs and intervention strategies that are consistent with athletes' developmental levels and biological maturation (McCarthy et al., 2010; Normand et al., 2017; Hendricks, 2012; Salles et al., 2019; Pilkington et al., 2024).

2.3. Holistic Development Approach

Current theoretical approaches adopt a holistic perspective that considers athletes not only in terms of their athletic performance but also in terms of their academic, psychosocial, and economic dimensions. This perspective suggests the implementation of developmentally sensitive programs to enable athletes to develop healthily both during their sports careers and in their post-sports lives (Pilkington et al., 2024; Vissoci, 2025).

2.4. Developmental Stages and Psychological Development

2.4.1. Introduction to Organized Sports (Early Childhood)

The first encounter with sports usually occurs in early childhood. This stage is important in terms of becoming acquainted with sports, learning basic motor skills, and developing positive attitudes toward physical activity. Early sports experiences shape children's motivation for sports, the enjoyment they derive from sports activities, and the initial foundations of their identity as athletes (McCarthy et al., 2010; Hendricks, 2012; Childers, n.d.). The sports psychology literature shows that positive thinking skills support athletes' motivation, performance, and psychological resilience. Athletes' positive thinking skills may vary depending on age, education level, and environmental factors (Şahinler, Biçer, Acet & Acet, 2020).

2.4.2. Developmental Period (Middle Adolescence)

Middle adolescence is a period of rapid physical and psychological change for young athletes. During this process, it is important to adjust training load, coaching approaches, and psychosocial support mechanisms to suit the developmental level of the athletes. Intensive specialization and excessive training load at an early age can have negative effects on psychological well-being and increase the risk of stress and burnout. Therefore, it is crucial to plan age-appropriate development models and sufficient rest periods in sports programs (Normand et al., 2017; Burgess & Naughton, 2010; Thurber, 2025; Moeskops et al., 2021).

2.4.3. Mastery Phase and Transition to Adulthood (Late Adolescence)

During late adolescence, athletes begin to focus more on high-level performance goals. During this process, psychological skills training, psychological resilience development, and identity formation become important. Along with the development of athletic skills, supporting education, career planning, and life skills helps young athletes adapt healthily to both the elite

sports environment and adult life (Burgess & Naughton, 2010; Pilkington et al., 2024; Vissoci, 2025; Eikena, 2019).

2.5. Psychological Development Process in Young Athletes

2.5.1. Learning Psychological Skills

Young athletes have developmentally different levels of knowledge about the meaning and application of psychological skills. Research shows that younger age groups of athletes have a more limited understanding of defining and explaining psychological skills. This highlights the need for psychological skill training to be planned in accordance with developmental levels (McCarthy et al., 2010). Furthermore, the learning of psychological skills is closely related not only to individual development but also to coaches, teammates, and social interactions within the sports environment (Milavić et al., 2019). Mental resilience is considered an important psychological factor in the performance processes of athletes. Mental resilience includes psychological characteristics such as coping with stress, focusing, performing under pressure, and adapting to adverse situations (Şahinler & Ersoy, 2019). In this context, resilience emerges as a key psychological factor that directly reduces anxiety and supports adaptive functioning (Şahinler et al., 2025).

2.5.2. Intrinsic Motivation and Sports Experience

The development of intrinsic motivation in young athletes is closely related to the structure of sports environments. Early sports environments based solely on intensive and one-dimensional training programs can negatively affect athletes' long-term development. In contrast, sports environments that consciously plan training, game-based activities, skill diversity, and adequate rest periods in a balanced manner support athletes' motivation, enjoyment levels, and sustainable development (Normand et al., 2017; Burgess & Naughton, 2010; Milavić et al., 2019; Moeskops et al., 2021).

2.5.3. Biological Maturation and Psychological Responses

Biological maturation directly influences young athletes' perception of sports-related challenges and their responses to these challenges. The level of maturation can shape athletes' stress coping skills, social relationships, and roles within the team. Therefore, it is recommended that coaches and sports professionals consider athletes' levels of biological maturation in their training plans (Normand et al., 2017; Hendricks, 2012; Moeskops et al., 2021).

2.6. Psychological Development in the Team Environment

The psychological development of young athletes is not limited to individual processes; social interactions within the team environment also influence this process. Team cohesion, perceived collective efficacy, and the psychological climate within the team are important factors that influence how athletes experience the sport and their performance. These social processes can manifest in different ways depending on age and developmental level (Kolosov et al., 2022; Salles et al., 2019).

2.7. Talent Development and Long-Term Athlete Development

Traditional talent development models are often based on one-dimensional performance criteria. However, current approaches emphasize that cognitive, emotional, and social development dimensions should be considered alongside physical performance when evaluating the development of young athletes. This multidimensional approach supports athletes' healthy development processes and reduces the risk of early withdrawal from sports (Burgess & Naughton, 2010; Thurber, 2025; Moeskops et al., 2021).

Specialization at an early age is associated with risks such as increased injury risk, psychological burnout, and limited long-term performance development. In contrast, developmental models that include sports diversity and periodized training programs support both physical and psychological development, encouraging athletes' lifelong participation in physical activity (Normand et al.,

2017; Thurber, 2025; Milavić et al., 2019; Moeskops et al., 2021; Merlin et al., 2024).

3. Ethical Approaches in Child and Youth Sports

3.1. Child Protection, Abuse Prevention, and Safety

The foundation of an ethical approach in children's and youth sports is protecting athletes from risks such as physical, emotional, and sexual abuse, neglect, and exploitation. The literature contains various recommendations for protecting not only the physical safety of young athletes in competitive sports environments but also their psychological health and overall well-being (Raas et al., 2022). In this context, research emphasizes the need for an integrated approach involving sports science, psychiatry, and developmental psychology to reduce the risks of abuse that young athletes may encounter and to provide mental health support (Raas et al., 2022).

It is important to develop sports policies and practices based on a child rights approach and to align them with international standards for child protection in sports environments (Küçükalpelli, 2025). Ethical sports practices require the establishment of transparent reporting mechanisms, the implementation of age-appropriate monitoring systems, and the development of a strong culture of protection at the club and federation levels (Raas et al., 2022; Küçükalpelli, 2025).

Violence and abuse in competitive sports environments are among the significant ethical issues affecting child and adolescent athletes. Therefore, it is recommended that conceptual frameworks for protecting mental health be developed within sports systems and that young athletes be provided with access to quality mental health services (Raas et al., 2022). At the same time, providing training on recognizing boundary violations, harassment, and abuse in sports environments and increasing transparency in internal institutional relationships play an important role in reducing potential harm (Raas et al., 2022).

3.2. Rights, Best Interest Principle, and Parental Involvement

Ethical practices in young athletes are based on the principle of safeguarding the best interests of the child. Ethical debates, particularly regarding genetic-based talent identification, emphasize that decisions made in the field of sports must prioritize the current and future well-being of the child (Camporesi & McNamee, 2016). Given that genetic-based talent screening is based on limited scientific evidence, it is stated that excessive reliance on such methods should be avoided in the selection or guidance process of athletes (Camporesi & McNamee, 2016).

The pediatric ethics literature emphasizes that children should not be treated as small adults and that decisions made in sports environments must take into account children's developmental needs. In this context, obtaining informed consent from parents, ensuring children's consent, and ensuring family participation are among the fundamental elements of ethical practices in the process of participating in sports activities (Macauley & Hain, 2021).

3.3. Ethical Rules, Corporate Governance, and Policy Transparency

Ethical rules established in sports organizations significantly influence the ethical climate and behavior patterns within the institution. Effective codes of ethics are not merely prohibitive regulations but also contain guiding principles that direct behavior. For these rules to be effectively implemented, clear sanctions must be established and accountability at the management level must be ensured (Waegeneer et al., 2015). This indicates that sports organizations need to develop comprehensive ethical frameworks covering child protection, fair play principles, and conflicts of interest.

Teaching sports ethics as part of educational programs also contributes to strengthening ethical practices in youth sports. Systematic ethics education develops the ethical reasoning skills of coaches and managers and helps establish ethical behavior in sports environments (Mullem et al., 2013).

3.4. Fair Treatment and Prevention of Abuse

Coach-athlete relationships are one of the ethically sensitive areas in sports environments. Studies on coach-athlete sexual relationships reveal that athletes evaluate such situations from different ethical perspectives and highlight the need for protection-oriented policies in sports environments (Stefansen et al., 2019). Therefore, it is crucial to develop clear policies, implement mandatory training programs, and establish effective protection mechanisms in sports organizations to prevent boundary violations.

Furthermore, the sports ethics literature shows that individual characteristics and motivational orientations influence ethical behavior. In particular, perfectionist tendencies and success-oriented motivational climates can influence ethical behavior patterns in sports environments. Therefore, creating skill-focused and development-based motivational environments in sports programs is important for promoting ethical behavior (Sun & Ji, 2022).

3.5. Child Protection Programs and Psychological Support

For child protection programs to be effectively implemented, education, supervision, ethical rules, and psychological support mechanisms must be addressed together. Policies developed in line with the recommendations of international organizations such as UNICEF and ILO suggest that children's rights be respected in sports management and that training be provided for coaches, families, and athletes on safety and reporting processes (Küçükalpelli, 2025).

Ethical sports practices also include providing psychosocial support to athletes during career transitions. It is particularly important to establish support mechanisms that protect the mental health of young athletes during the transition to higher levels of competition or the adaptation process to elite sports environments (Pilkington et al., 2024).

3.6. Nutrition, Supplements, and Medical Ethics

The use of nutritional supplements in young athletes is also among the issues that need to be carefully considered from an ethical perspective. The literature indicates that there is limited scientific evidence on the effects of supplements on performance in children and adolescent athletes and that these products may carry potential risks in terms of growth processes. Therefore, ethical practices recommend that supplement use should not be unnecessarily encouraged and that basic nutrition and medical supervision should be prioritized (Maughan & Shirreffs, 2017).

Similarly, ethical debates regarding the use of genetic testing in children to determine athletic ability emphasize the need for caution regarding the use of such practices at an early age. In this context, it is stated that decisions related to sports should consider the best interests of the child and avoid the use of scientifically uncertain or exaggerated claims (Camporesi & McNamee, 2016).

3.7. Research Ethics and Child-Centered Approaches

It is of great importance that research conducted in children's and youth sports is carried out in accordance with ethical principles. In such research, informed consent must be obtained, children's autonomy must be respected, and research processes must be planned in a way that does not harm the well-being of participants. It is also recommended that research approaches that encourage the active participation of children and take their views into account be adopted (Nickel, 2023; Bergman, 2007).

3.8. Ethical Debates and Current Approaches

The balance between early specialization and sports diversification in young athletes is one of the important topics of ethical debate. The literature generally emphasizes that sports experiences that take into account children's developmental needs and support long-term well-being should be preferred. However, it is stated that in some sports, decisions regarding specialization

should be evaluated contextually, taking into account individual differences, resources, and maturity levels (Normand et al., 2017; Burgess & Naughton, 2010; Thurber, 2025; Moeskops et al., 2021).

Furthermore, it is noted that caution should be exercised regarding the predictive power of psychological skills in young athletes' performance. Instead of relying excessively on a single criterion in talent identification processes, it is recommended to use multidimensional assessment methods and consider athletes' developmental needs in decision-making processes (Milavić et al., 2019).

Finally, with the increase in data collection processes related to young athletes in sports environments, artificial intelligence and data ethics issues are also gaining importance. Ethical debates in the field of child health emphasize the need to protect data privacy, child-centered design, and the principle of proportionality in data use (Chng et al., 2025).

References

Bergman, R. (2007). Aristotle for contemporary moral educators. Journal of Research in Character Education, 5(1), 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1108/ce-02-2007-0004

Burgess, D. J., & Naughton, G. (2010). Talent development in adolescent team sports: A review. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 5(1), 103–116. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.5.1.103

Camporesi, S., & McNamee, M. (2016). Ethics, genetic testing, and athletic talent: Children's best interests and the right to an open (athletic) future. Physiological Genomics, 48(3), 191–195. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00104.2015

Childers, C. (n.d.). Examination of student-athletes' developmental transition from youth sport to college sport. https://doi.org/10.30707/etd2019.childers.c

Chng, S. Y., Tern, M. J. W., Lee, Y. S., Cheng, L. T., Kapur, J., Eriksson, J. G., ... & Savulescu, J. (2025). Ethical considerations in AI for child health and recommendations for child-centered medical AI. NPJ Digital Medicine, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-025-01541-1

Côté, J., & Abernethy, B. (2012). A developmental approach to sport expertise. In S. M. Murphy (Ed.), The Oxford handbook of sport and performance psychology (pp. 435–447). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199731763.013.0023

Eikena, D. (2019). Psychological skills of talented athletes in individual sports in Latvia. In Proceedings of ICASS 2019 (pp. 235–241). https://doi.org/10.37393/icass2019/45

Espinoza, S. M., Martin, C. L., Eisenberg, M. E., Borowsky, I. W., McMorris, B. J., & Hooper, L. (2023). Internal and social assets, weight-based bullying, sport, and activity among female adolescents. Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal, 31(2), 82–91. https://doi.org/10.1123/wspaj.2022-0047

Fortier, K., Parent, S., & Lessard, G. (2019). Child maltreatment in sport: Smashing the wall of silence: A narrative review of physical, sexual, psychological abuses and neglect. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 54(1), 4–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-100224

Fraser-Thomas, J., & Côté, J. (2009). Understanding adolescents' positive and negative developmental experiences in sport. The Sport Psychologist, 23(1), 3–23. https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.23.1.3

Gerdin, G., & Pringle, R. (2025). Ethical coaching and athlete transitions: A Foucauldian perspective on high-performance sports. Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2025.1675173

Hendricks, S. (2012). Trainability of junior rugby union players. South African Journal of Sports Medicine, 24(4). https://doi.org/10.17159/2078-516x/2012/v24i4a525

Kolosov, A., Voitenko, S., Kostiukevych, V., Vozniuk, T., Perepelytsia, M., Svirshchuk, N., ... & Chernyshenko, T. (2022). Comparative performance of soccer teams of different age groups. Physical Education Theory and Methodology, 22(2), 242–248. https://doi.org/10.17309/tmfv.2022.2.14

Kromerova-Dubinskiene, E. (2019). How the coach-created empowering and disempowering motivational climate predict prosocial and antisocial behavior of the athlete? In Proceedings of the World Conference on Teaching and Education. https://doi.org/10.33422/worldcte.2019.09.567

Küçükalpelli, F. (2025). Child protection program in sports. Herkes İçin Spor ve Rekreasyon Dergisi. https://doi.org/10.56639/jsar.1810649

Lopukhova, O. G., & Nurkhamitova, L. (2023). Types of parental attitudes in the psychological support of a child-athlete: A diagnostic questionnaire. Social Psychology and Society, 14(3), 173–186. https://doi.org/10.17759/sps.2023140311

Louw, D., & Louw, A. (2022). Child and adolescent development (3rd ed.). https://doi.org/10.36615/9781776401383

Macauley, R., & Hain, R. (2021). Children are not small adults: The distinctiveness of ethics in children. In Oxford textbook of palliative care for children (pp. 25–35). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780198821311.003.0003

Maughan, R. J., & Shirreffs, S. M. (2017). Dietary supplements. In Oxford textbook of children's sport and exercise medicine (pp. 637–644). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780198757672.003.0048

McCarthy, P., Jones, M. V., Harwood, C., & Olivier, S. (2010). What do young athletes implicitly understand about psychological skills? Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 4(2), 158–172. https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.4.2.158

Merlin, Q., Vacher, P., Martinent, G., & Nicolas, M. (2024). Youth athletes' self-esteem: The impact of integrated psychological skills training. Pediatric Exercise Science, 36(4), 265–273. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2024-0005

Milavić, B., Padulo, J., Grgantov, Z., Milić, M., Mannarini, S., Manzoni, G. M., ... & Rossi, A. (2019). Development and factorial validity of the Psychological Skills Inventory for Sports, Youth Version – Short Form. PLoS ONE, 14(8), e0220930. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220930

Moeskops, S., Oliver, J. L., Read, P., Cronin, J., Myer, G. D., & Lloyd, R. S. (2021). Practical strategies for integrating strength and conditioning into early specialization sports. Strength & Conditioning Journal, 44(1), 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1519/ssc.0000000000000665

Mullem, P. V., Stoll, S. K., & Mullem, H. V. (2013). Teaching sport ethics: One perspective. Journal of Kinesiology & Wellness, 2(1), 38–49. https://doi.org/10.56980/jkw.v2i1.30

Murzina, M. I. (2025). The relationship between the self-regulation style of behavior in young gymnasts and the type of parental attitudes in sports. Scientific Notes of P. F. Lesgaft University, 290–297. https://doi.org/10.5930/1994-4683-2025-290-297

Nesterova, A. S., & Barbashov, S. V. (2023). Comparative analysis of methods for assessing the level of puberty in sports practice. Society Sociology Psychology Pedagogy, (10), 98–103. https://doi.org/10.24158/spp.2023.10.14

Nickel, M. (2023). Best practices of child-led monitoring and evaluation in the development aid sector. Glocality, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.5334/glo.64

Normand, J. M., Wolfe, A., & Peak, K. (2017). A review of early sport specialization in relation to the development of a young athlete. International Journal of Kinesiology and Sports Science, 5(2), 37. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijkss.v.5n.2p.37

O'Donnell, A. W., Stuart, J., Barber, B. L., & Abkhezr, P. (2020). Sport participation may protect socioeconomically disadvantaged youths with refugee backgrounds from experiencing behavioral and emotional difficulties. Journal of Adolescence, 85(1), 148–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2020.11.003

Pilkington, V., Rice, S., Olive, L., Walton, C. C., & Purcell, R. (2024). Athlete mental health and wellbeing during the transition into elite sport. Sports Medicine - Open, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-024-00690-z

Raas, M. I., Schneeberger, A. R., Karunaharamoorthy, A., Sinsel, D., Schmidt, R. E., Hofmann, C. G., ... & Claussen, M. C. (2022). Violence and abuse in competitive sports. Praxis, 111(4), e205–e212. https://doi.org/10.1024/1661-8157/a003852

Säfvenbom, R., Strittmatter, A., & Bernhardsen, G. P. (2023). Developmental outcomes for young people participating in informal and lifestyle sports. Social Sciences, 12(5), 299. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12050299

Salles, W. d. N., Soares, A. L. A., Collet, C., Milan, F. J., Palheta, C. E., Mendes, F. G., ... & Carvalho, H. M. (2019). Influence of age and maturation on perceived collective efficacy in youth basketball players. Cuadernos de Psicología del Deporte, 19(1), 275–282. https://doi.org/10.6018/cpd.347851

Schemenauer, S., & Chu, T. L. (2022). Development of a group intervention to promote need-supportive sport parenting. Family Relations, 72(3), 1326–1334. https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12742

Stefansen, K., Solstad, G. M., Strandbu, Å., & Hansen, M. (2019). Young athletes' perceptions of coach-athlete sexual relationships. Sociology of Sport Journal, 36(4), 339–346. https://doi.org/10.1123/ssj.2019-0007

Sun, K., & Ji, T. (2022). The relationship between perfectionism and sports ethics among young athletes. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.771332

Super, S., Hermens, N., Verkooijen, K., & Koelen, M. (2018). Examining the relationship between sports participation and youth developmental outcomes for socially vulnerable youth. BMC Public Health, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5955-y

Şahinler, Y. (2021). Sporcuların Psikolojik Beceri Düzeylerinin İncelenmesi. Iğdır Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 4(1), 8-18. https://doi.org/10.48133/igdirsbd.1008467

Şahinler, Y., & Ersoy, A. (2019). Sporcuların zihinsel dayanıklılıklarının farklı değişkenlere göre incelenmesi. International Journal of Social Sciences and Education Research, 5(2), 168-177. https://doi.org/10.24289/ijsser.558658

Şahinler, Y., Biçer, T., Acet, A., & Acet, M. (2020). Sporcularda Olumlu Düşünme Beceri Düzeylerinin İncelenmesi. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 5(4), 598-613. https://doi.org/10.31680/gaunjss.817059

Şahinler, Y., Koç, M. C., Özcan, B., Talaghir, L. G., Coja, D. M., Marinescu, R., Costin, D. E., & Tsai, C. T. L. (2025).The mediating role of self-efficacy perceptions in the relationship between psychological resilience and health anxiety of special education teachers. Balneo and PRM Research Journal, 16(3), 853.https://doi.org/10.12680/balneo.2025.853

Thomas, A., & Güllich, A. (2019). Childhood practice and play as determinants of adolescent intrinsic and extrinsic motivation among elite youth athletes.

European Journal of Sport Science, 19(8), 1120–1129. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2019.1597170

Thurber, L., Kantrowitz, D. E., Wang, K. C., Jayanthi, N., & Colvin, A. (2025). Early sport specialization and intense training in junior tennis players. Sports Health, 18(1), 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381251393642

Vecenāne, H., & Vazne, Ž. (2025). Intervention of autogenous training techniques for psychological preparedness of sports school students. Education Innovation Diversity, 1(4), 57–63. https://doi.org/10.17770/eid2022.1.6806

Vissoci, J. R. N., Oliveira, L. P. d., Caruzzo, N., Javorski, B. C. D., & Fiorese, L. (2025). Sports career development among young Brazilian football players. Brazilian Journal of Sport Psychology & Human Development, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.5016/bjsphd.v1i1.19435

Waegeneer, E. D., Van De Sompele, J., & Willem, A. (2015). Ethical codes in sports organizations. Journal of Business Ethics, 136(3), 587–598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2531-y

Weiss, M. R., & Bredemeier, B. J. (1983). Developmental sport psychology: A theoretical perspective for studying children in sport. Journal of Sport Psychology, 5(2), 216–230. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsp.5.2.216